



Request for Qualifications No. 2024-029

**Union County 2024 Critical Intersection
Analysis Design and Cost Estimate Study**

ADDENDUM No. 1

ISSUE DATE: March 27, 2024

Responding Offerors on this project are hereby notified that this Addendum shall be made a part of the above named RFQ document.

The following items add to, modify, and/or clarify the RFQ documents and shall have the full force and effect of the original Documents. This Addendum shall be acknowledged by the Offeror in the RFQ document.

Question/Answer Section

1. Code 256 includes advanced modeling, is it required due to the size of this project? Is this code correct? Should it be 026 or 027 instead?

Answer: Code 026 is more appropriate for this project and should be used instead of 256.

2. NCDOT prequalification code 337 is referenced in the RFQ document, but is an architectural estimating code and does not appear to be relevant to the scope of work requested. We understand that not all codes are required and we can explain in our proposal document why this code is not relevant to the requested work. That said, are there other cost estimating codes that we should incorporate into our proposal in its place?

Answer: Codes 201 and 269 or any other code demonstrating cost estimation are more appropriate for this project and should be used instead of 337.

End of Question/Answer Section

End of Addendum No. 1