

November 20, 2006

The Union County Board of Commissioners met in a regular meeting on Monday, November 20, 2006, at 7:00 p.m. in the Commissioners' Formal Board Room, ninth floor, Union County Government Center, 500 North Main Street, Monroe, North Carolina. The following were

PRESENT: Chairman Roger Lane, Vice Chairman Hughie Sexton, Commissioner Kevin Pressley, Commissioner Stony Rushing, and Commissioner Richard Stone

ABSENT: None

ALSO PRESENT: Mike Shalati, County Manager, Lynn G. West, Clerk to the Board of Commissioners, Jeff Crook, Senior Staff Attorney, Don Perry, County Attorney, Kai Nelson, Finance Director, Brett Vines, Public Information Officer, members of the press, and other interested citizens

OPENING OF MEETING:

Chairman Lane convened the meeting and welcomed everyone present.

a. Invocation

Vice Chairman Sexton offered the invocation.

b. Pledge of Allegiance

Vice Chairman Sexton led the body in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance to the American flag.

b. Informal Comments

Ed Price expressed his appreciation to the Board members for their service. He thanked Commissioner Stone for his support to him and his wife during Mr. Price's stay in the hospital this year. Mr. Price asked that Chairman Lane and Commissioner Pressley, who will remain on the Board, to work with the newly elected Board members during its next term.

Pamela Saladino expressed her appreciation specifically to Commissioner Rushing for his service to Union County. She stated that Commissioner Rushing's enthusiasm and support helped many of the newcomers to the County. Ms. Saladino listed a number of the initiatives supported by Commissioner Rushing during his term.

Byron Nesbit stated that over the years it has been an honor and pleasure to work with Chairman Lane, Vice Chairman Sexton, and Commissioner Stone. He reviewed some of the initiatives supported by Chairman Lane, Vice Chairman Sexton, and Commissioner Stone and expressed his appreciation to them for their support. He concluded his remarks with a quote from Abraham Lincoln.

Bobby Bowers, Attorney at Law, stated that he represented one of the affected property owners in connection with the Marvin ETJ request. He objected to tonight's meeting as a continuing violation of the open meetings statutes and renewed the comments and objections lodged at the public hearing on the Marvin ETJ request on Thursday, November 16, 2006.

Todd Smith addressed the Board regarding the sewer rates charged to residents of Country Woods East Subdivision. He stated that he had come before the Board in June of 2006, and he has not received answers to the questions that he posed at that time. Mr. Smith stated that a resolution is needed to this matter. He expressed appreciation to Commissioners Pressley and Rushing for their encouragement to him regarding this matter during his conversations with them.

Special Presentations:

Neal Speer, Fire Marshal, presented Commissioner Stone with a plaque on behalf of the Union County Fire Commission for his years of service as the Fire Commissioner during the years: December 7, 1998 - December 6, 1999; December 4, 2000 - December 3, 2001; December 1, 2003 - December 6, 2004; and December 5, 2005 - December 4, 2006.

Chairman Lane presented plaques on behalf of the Board to the following outgoing Board members for their dedicated and faithful service to the citizens of Union County: Vice Chairman Sexton, Commissioner Richard Stone, and Commissioner Stony Rushing.

PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUATION - PROPOSED INCENTIVE GRANT FOR PROJECT TARA (from November 6, 2006, meeting):

At approximately 7:33 p.m., Chairman Lane re-opened the public hearing, which was continued from the November 6, 2006, meeting regarding a proposed incentive grant for Project Tara (Carolina Classifieds, LLC). He recognized Maurice Ewing, President of the Union County Partnership for Progress, for his comments.

Mr. Ewing introduced Scott Patterson, President of Carolina Classifieds, LLC, and Steve McKinnon, Senior Vice President of Operations. He provided a recap of the information regarding the company as presented during the public hearing on November 6, 2006. He stated that the proposed location of the company is the building on Airport Road formerly occupied by Schrader Automotive. Mr. Ewing noted that the company will not be adding any square footage to the facility but will be completing extensive renovations to the facility, which he said was the reason for the delay in providing the correct numbers upon which to base the proposed incentive grant package.

He stated that the real estate investment is expected to be in the \$2.9 million range, and the investment for equipment will be approximately \$4 million for a total investment of approximately \$6,828,000. Mr. Ewing stated that the company would be creating 314 new jobs at an average hourly wage of \$21.43, which is well above Union County's average wage. He stated that it is estimated that the company will pay approximately \$145,000 in taxes over the three-year grant period. He said that the total recommended grant is \$89,383 resulting in a net increase in tax revenues of approximately \$55,000 over the three-year grant period. In addition to the building currently being considered in Union County, Mr. Ewing stated that the company is also considering facilities in Mecklenburg County, York County, South Carolina, and Lancaster County, South Carolina.

He stated that the Schrader Automotive building is a Brownfield contaminated location, and he noted that the company has requested Brownfield designation. He commented that without that designation, it is unlikely that the building could be brought back onto the tax rolls.

For the record, Mr. Ewing stated that the Union County Partnership for Progress recommends that the Board of Commissioners approve an incentive grant for Carolina Classifieds, LLC, in an amount not to exceed \$89,383 or 25 percent less and that the Board authorize the County Manager to negotiate a contract reflecting those parameters in keeping with the current incentive guidelines.

Commissioner Stone questioned when the renovations to the facility would begin if the incentive grant were approved tonight. Mr. Ewing deferred to Scott Patterson of the Carolina Classifieds, LLC, to answer this question. Mr. Patterson responded that they would begin

the renovations as soon as possible. He said that there were a couple of items that would need to take place prior to beginning those renovations, one of which would be receiving the Brownfield designation.

Commissioner Rushing said that he had been very impressed with the number of jobs that this project would be bringing to Union County. He then attempted to make the motion to approve the recommended incentive grant. Chairman Lane stated that the motion could be made when Item 5 on the agenda was called.

Vice Chairman Sexton thanked Mr. Ewing for the presentation. He applauded the effort of the company for the number of jobs that would be created by this project and the average hourly wages to be paid in connection with these jobs. He questioned whether the estimated \$145,000 in Union County taxes to be collected from the company over the three-year grant period has been amortized and took into consideration depreciation of equipment. Mr. Ewing replied that the calculations are made by the County, and do take into consideration depreciation of equipment.

Chairman Lane asked if anyone had registered to speak during the public hearing either in favor of or in opposition to the incentive grant. Mr. Shalati stated that no one had signed to speak during the public hearing.

At approximately 7:41 p.m., Chairman Lane closed the public hearing.

ADDITIONS, DELETIONS, AND/OR ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA:

Chairman Lane stated that he wanted to move Item 7 on the Regular Agenda - Consideration of Request by Village of Marvin for ETJ to Item 4a on the Regular Agenda, because of the number of citizens attending the meeting with an interest in this item.

There were no objections from the Board regarding moving this item to Item 4a.

Chairman Lane stated that there have been requests to add the following items to the agenda:

1. Appointment of a County Commissioner to serve on the Juvenile Crime Prevention Council (JCPC) (Item 12b)
2. Use of the Agri-Business Center by Dr. King's Organization Committee (Item 12a)

Commissioner Rushing requested that Item 4b on the Consent Agenda - Budget Transfer Report for October 2006 be moved to the regular agenda. Chairman Lane stated that without objection that item would become 12c.

Commissioner Rushing further requested that Item 9 on the regular agenda-Request by Town of Stallings for ETJ be moved to Item 4b, since both items 4a and b were in regards to ETJ requests.

With there being no further additions or deletions to the agenda, Chairman Lane moved adoption of the agenda as modified. The motion was passed unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA:

Chairman Lane moved approval of the items listed on the Consent Agenda as modified. The motion was passed unanimously.

Minutes: Approved minutes of the special meeting of May 22, 2006, regular meeting of June 5, 2006, special meetings of June 7, 8, 14, and 15, and regular meeting of November 6, 2006.

Library: Approved Budget Amendment #26 increasing Interfund Transfer by \$3,872 and Fund Balance Appropriated by \$3,872 to appropriate funds for the Marshville Library Lighting Project; and Approved CPO #63 to appropriate funds from the Library Capital Reserve Fund to the Library Capital Project Ordinance Fund for the Marshville Project in the amount of \$3,872.

CAPITAL PROJECT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT									
BUDGET		Library Capital Project Fund				REQUESTED BY		Martie Smith	
FISCAL YEAR		FY 2006-2007				DATE		November 7, 2006	
PROJECT SOURCES					PROJECT USES				
Source	Project	Requested	Revised		Project	Project	Requested	Revised	

Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project	Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project
Capital Reserve transfer	737,219	3,872	741,091	This Library CPO Project	1,535,462	3,872	1,539,334
All other proceeds	7,593,156	-	7,593,156	Other Library CPO Project(s)	6,794,913	-	6,794,913
	8,330,375	3,872	8,334,247		8,330,375	3,872	8,334,247
EXPLANATION:	To appropriate Library Capital Reserve Fund resources to Marshville Library capital project.						
DATE:				APPROVED BY:			
					Bd of Comm/County Manager		
					Lynn West/Clerk to the Board		
FOR FINANCE POSTING PURPOSES ONLY							
PROJECT SOURCES				PROJECT USES			
Source Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project	Project Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
IFT from Library Res	737,219			Marshville Library	1,535,462	3,872	

Tax Administrator: Approved Refunds for October 2006 in the Grand Total Amount of \$9,166.56.

REFUNDS OCTOBER 2006

Acct # 2006	Name	Release #	Total
06165220	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1820	787.70
50095706	HINSON TIMOTHY A	1826	22.45
06108442	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1839	717.52
06222280	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1861	3,389.63
06162093	M/I HOMES OF CHARLOTTE LLC	1868	824.38
06003010R	REBECCA HOMES INC	1883	1,173.35
06009066	TURNBERRY HOMES OF THE CAROLINAS LLC	1886	1,502.87
50078427	MCCORKLE STEPHEN DOUGLAS	1937	130.04
50095647	GOLDSON CHRISTOPHER A	1938	105.63
50080907	TROUTMAN PEGGY CLONTZ & HERMAN	1939	2.99
50080679	WHITE DAVID FRANK JR & TERESA	1940	14.25
50095621	FINLAY ROBERT	1950	229.04
50091704	MULLEN EDWARD	1951	47.51
50086280	PARKER KENNETH R	1952	17.20
50095179	MCCLURE M DEVONDRIA PC	1959	26.42
50092290	GLAMOR NAILS	1974	17.02
50082645	EXPERT COMPUTER CONSULTING	1975	33.30
50076040	FIRST AMERICAN CASH	2000	52.14

ADVANCE #4059

Totals			9,093.44
---------------	--	--	-----------------

2005

05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1897	5.99
09128014	SMOKEY JOE PROPERTIES	1920	31.55
M			

Totals			37.54
---------------	--	--	--------------

2004

05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1898	5.66
----------	----------------------	------	------

Totals			5.66
---------------	--	--	-------------

2003

05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1899	10.68
----------	----------------------	------	-------

Totals			10.68
---------------	--	--	--------------

2002

05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1900	9.62
----------	----------------------	------	------

Totals			9.62
---------------	--	--	-------------

2001

05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1901	9.62
----------	----------------------	------	------

Totals			9.62
---------------	--	--	-------------

GRAND TOTALS			9,166.56
---------------------	--	--	-----------------

Tax Administrator: Approved Releases for October 2006 in the Grand Total Amount of \$190,196.30.

Acct #	Name	Release #	Total
2007			
08300040B	MCLESTER TOMMY BLAKE & TERESA G	1925	274.66

REFERENCE D/1/2007= THIS IS

VOIDING D/1/2007

2006

50092386	RESIDENCE RECOVERY INC	1778	216.03
50093841	QUALITY BUSINESS SYSTEMS	1779	53.87
50094359	WHEELER LINDA ANNETE	1780	25.25
50091904	PROVIDENCE MOTORS	1781	215.41
50092598	PHILIP STILL INC	1782	215.41
50073736	DOUG MCLAIN TRUCKING INC	1783	392.12
50092382	CRITICAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS LLC	1784	166.70
50092299	GROUNDWORKS LAWN CARE	1785	86.34
50092419	FLOWER LAND ENTERPRISES INC	1786	216.03
06183038	MANUS HOMES INC	1787	3,117.91
06048457	CENTEX HOMES	1788	911.03
06048455	CENTEX HOMES	1789	2,000.75
06048454	CENTEX HOMES	1790	2,000.75
08126073	J R HASTY BUILDERS	1791	2,413.60
50092298	GROUND BREAKING EQUIPMENT INC	1792	156.86
50094675	GARYS INDEPENDENT TECHNOLOGIES INC	1793	69.94
50092285	GARYS INDEPENDENT TECHNOLOGIES INC	1794	41.48
07135244	HOMELIFE COMMUNITIES GROUP	1795	1,186.14
50095275	MCLAUNN WILLIAM & ANNETTE	1796	32.80
07135187	HOMELIFE COMMUNITIES GROUP	1797	1,255.47
07123120	KNIGHT TIMOTHY WILSON	1798	682.80
07096752	BONTERRA BUILDERS LLC	1799	950.80
07096731	BONTERRA BUILDERS LLC	1800	1,239.85
06222257	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1801	2,015.16
06222287	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1802	1,903.03
06222253	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1803	2,215.68
06222244	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1804	2,066.53
06222245	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1805	2,186.47
06222247	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1806	2,077.54
06222249	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1807	2,075.53
06222251	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1808	2,072.85
07048105	SARDIS PROPERTIES LLC	1809	909.41
07048111	SARDIS PROPERTIES LLC	1810	1,027.39
07048120	SARDIS PROPERTIES LLC	1811	1,049.44
07048136	SARDIS PROPERTIES LLC	1812	1,003.06

06222255	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1813	1,853.88
06222295	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1814	3,009.89
06222294	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1815	3,504.68
06165173	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1816	631.83
06165175	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1817	1,458.44
06165176	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1818	1,401.43
06165179	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1819	639.50
06165169	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1821	282.66
06165168	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1822	460.29
06165167	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1823	485.66
06165166	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1824	437.65
06165165	EVERGREEN HOMEBUILDERS LLC	1825	417.31
50085008	FERRELL JERRY W	1827	37.34
50095622	FISHER ROBERT P II	1828	40.90
50085757	ERVING THOMAS J	1829	11.28
50089854	BROOKS STEPHEN R	1830	28.37
50095537	BRYAN BART O	1831	336.18
50095696	HELMS CYNTHIA LONG	1832	10.51
50077776	HOPE JOHNNY L	1833	16.19
50073035	BIVENS EDWARD LEE	1834	2.42
50067213	CONNECTED OFFICE PRODUCTS INC		166.45
50093230	HENDERSON DAN L	1836	32.99
50083422	HOMEWORKS	1837	19.05
06156362	HERRON ENTERPRISES INC	1838	3,227.10
06108441	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1840	925.87
06108440	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1841	924.11
06108439	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1842	426.52
06108433	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1843	360.49
06108430	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1844	80.81
06108401	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1845	780.78

06108400	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1846	930.41
06108399	PULTE HOME CORPORATION	1847	421.72
50093859	COLLINS BILLY W	1848	36.82
06108384	N V R INC	1849	481.18
06108371	N V R INC	1850	955.57
06108355	N V R INC	1851	440.71
06108353	N V R INC	1852	506.47
06108349	RYLAND GROUP INC	1853	865.40
06108348	RYLAND GROUP INC	1854	782.68
06108269	PARKER & ORLEANS HOMEBUILDERS INC	1855	1,143.58
06108268	PARKER & ORLEANS HOMEBUILDERS INC	1856	937.54
06108259	PARKER & ORLEANS HOMEBUILDERS INC	1857	1,010.02
06108258	PARKER & ORLEANS HOMEBUILDERS INC	1858	992.13
06108244	PARKER & ORLEANS HOMEBUILDERS INC	1859	151.19
06222261	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1860	2,223.31
06222283	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1862	2,368.49
06222284	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1863	3,597.65
06222292	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1864	2,826.31
06222290	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1865	3,103.44
06222286	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1866	1,660.46
06093114	HOSHOUR DAVID L & ADINA A	1867	1,700.82
06162098	M/I HOMES OF CHARLOTTE LLC	1869	996.66

05063074	WILLOW POINT PROPERTIES LLC	1870	2,190.28
06102052	MILITELLO CHRISTIAN	1871	3,115.04
06207466	FINK THOMAS W LINDA A	1872	5,066.70
06207470	FINK JAMES	1873	2,113.53
05063094	WILLOW POINT PROPERTIES LLC	1874	2,403.99
50069693	KING WINDY & HUSBAND JOSEPH H KING JR	1875	152.18
07150351	JOHN WIELAND HOMES AND NEIGHBORHOODS OF THE CAROLINAS	1876	11.81
06045205	BONTERRA BUILDERS LLC	1877	1,241.23
09256182	NICHOLS SHAWN J & TESSA L BOWERS	1878	1,347.76
04060040	PHILLIPS FRANK ETAL	1879	591.08
06174147	ROSSI ROBERT R JR & WF LINDA L	1880	7,284.07
06222241	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1881	2,057.99
06207457	KOPCZYNSKI TODD M & WIFE MICHAELLE	1882	3,761.21
06048486	CENTEX HOMES	1884	576.99
06048495	CENTEX HOMES	1885	634.82
06009044	TURNBERRY HOMES OF THE CAROLINAS LLC	1887	734.06
06222240	NC COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES LP	1888	1,816.39
06117270	STRATFORD HALL HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION	1889	382.21
07021266	NEMANIC PATRIC W & KARIN K	1890	167.35
08282008	HENDERSON ADAM BURNETTE & ALICE T	1891	32.60
06159248	THOMAS & MARY	1892	110.99

	TSCHEITTER		
09231205	YOUTH WITH A MISSION	1893	2,074.11
09195116	MELTON JESSE RAY & LINDA	1894	84.43
08126005B	BENTON JAMES LEANDER & WF ANN	1895	299.76
05104028	SIMPSON DAVID THOMAS	1896	6.05
06006004A	GADDY RANDY OSCAR & KELLY HORN GADDY	1902	168.53
H2006004A 50069127	GADDY ALBERT FRANK & ARLENE S CARELOCK BRADY	1904	176.89
	BASCOM		
08093008C	HYATT MARITA M	1906	680.63
07075008 01	PLOWMAN MARY ALICE S	1907	2,006.32
09177002H	STARNES M KEITH & REBECCA	1908	648.35
08234013	RICHARDSON BETTY P	1909	488.55
05138121	TOWER HOMES INC	1910	1,018.56
07144331	BLACKBURN APRIL D	1911	712.36
05156026K	BEHRENS ROBERT & WIFE MARY JANE	1912	583.01
06207467	SHIMP HEIDI & DOMINICK FAVA	1913	4,614.80
06135001 02	PFISTER WALTER ROBERT & EMIL ALBERT	1914	131.60
06135001 01	PFISTER WALTER ROBERT & EMIL ALBERT	1915	1,298.98
09128014N	SMOKEY JOE PROPERTIES	1916	145.44
01093003 02	GODWIN WALTER % JIMMH GODWIN	1918	271.38
09128014M	SMOKEY JOE PROPERTIES	1919	31.73
08237010 60	HILLCREST BAPTIST CHURCH	1921	1,274.80
50095882	ROBERTSON JAMES THOMAS III	1922	18.90

06054234	MMB DEVELOPMENT FOUR	1924	2,082.82
09128014M	FREEMAN MARCIE EUDY CRUMP	1926	2.66
04309016C	ROGERS MARK DAVID & KAREN TEETER	1927	591.04
05087021 90	YARBROUGH JOHN RANSON & CLAUDIA	1928	126.85
09307010	MELTON HURLEY GARNER & RUBY S	1929	160.20
06057026	MINER STEPHEN M & JOANNE	1930	301.20
06207389	METRO JOSEPH ALAN & JENNIFER LISA	1931	183.77
50082035	MORRISON SAMUEL & BESSIE	1932	2.04
50078606	LITTLE JAMES R JR & PEGGN N		
50072662	BURWELL VENABLE BABCOCK & MARY	1934	23.94
50077650	HENSON THURMAN HAYDEN & SANDY	1935	5.86
50073806	HINSON ANGELA MEDLIN	1936	12.73
50053523	TUCKER MITCHELL WAYNE	1941	89.20
50092957	LEE MIKE	1942	174.66
50094030	MUNN WAYNE H	1943	6.51
50080645	THOMAS KERMIT WILSON & JOAN A	1944	1.91
50091043	NELSON CAROL	1945	43.28
50089582	YODER WILLIAM	1946	351.88
50095827	TOMAZIN DALE M	1947	125.56
50094999	PROPST SCOTT	1948	29.35
50084535	MYRICK NANCY	1949	87.16
50095833	WALTERS WILLIAM DAVID	1953	240.83
50095758	LITTLE JACK E III	1954	47.84
50066495	JORDAN THOMAS G		168.61
50091661	RUSSELL RONALD	1956	81.94

50095825	EUGENE THOMAS VERONICA EILEEN	1957	64.44
50084220	TURNER JEFFREY PAUL	1958	28.22
50094228	UNIQUE FASHIONS	1960	35.02
50094766	WALKER TROPHIES & AWARDS INC	1961	187.31
50092416	HEDWEST MARKETING INC	1962	8.93
50089538	PCR HOLDINGS INC	1963	11.27
50094601	TRAILS END FAMILY RESTAURANT	1964	512.46
50088762	WILLOW	1965	27.12
50095142	JACK ROCK HOLDINGS LLC	1966	409.40
50078325	MARANUK WALTER JOSEPH & FRANCES	1967	36.49
50083603	SOTER TIMOTHY ALAN	1968	16.81
50095631	RORIE KATHY STARNES	1969	16.25
50095633	GABARA NICHOLAS S	1970	152.98
50089769	MARTIN JOSEPH ALLEN	1971	22.60
50095605	MARTIN JULIE ANN	1972	10.57
50093768	NGUVEN THAM THI	1973	50.71
50093615	EDWARD'S TIRE & AUTO REPAIR	1976	200.45
50087941	CELL SHOPPE (THE)	1977	52.37
50071796	TEXTRON FINANCIAL CORP	1978	264.61
50094232	BALOUR CONSTRUCTION	1979	175.10
50067527	ROLDAN ALBERTO A % CARL WIGGINS	1980	201.36
50094211	T F CONSTRUCTION	1981	175.10
50079978	SUN CLEANERS % TAE KIM	1982	778.81
50092984	CLEAR SYSTEMS LLC	1983	206.17
50094425	ALL NAILS	1984	37.57
50094343	A SUPERIOR EXTERIOR %	1985	175.10

	BRIAN COHEE		
50094794	ISTHMUS USA INC	1986	187.86
50092364	MONROE GARAGE DOORS INC	1987	201.36
50094861	BLOISETEK INC	1988	175.10
50092419	FLOWER LAND ENTERPRISES INC	1989	184.36
50092484	AUCTIONED4U INC	1990	215.41
50091998	DASGOUS MARJORIE	1991	206.17
50094461	ABRAKADOODLE	1992	179.28
50092078	TAR HEEL OUTDOOR DESIGN GROUP INC	1993	201.36
50092548	MORALES ENTERPRISE INC	1994	157.50
50092484	AUCTIONED4U INC	1995	183.98
50091998	DASGOUS MARJORIE	1996	177.38
50092242	D & S CONSTRUCTION OF UNION INC	1998	173.25
50070958	SIDING PLUS INC	1999	183.98
50091932	ALL TYPES SIDING & WINDOWS INC	2001	184.36
50092070	AN AFFAIR TO REMEMBER	2002	173.25
50092307	HITTING THE GREEN	2003	173.25
50091924	NEEDFUL THANGS	2004	173.25
50077119	ADAMS METAL WORKS	2005	72.24
50093610	ADVANTAGE LEASING CORP	2006	58.61
50092070	AN AFFAIR TO REMEMBER	2007	214.42
50090536	AVIAN INCUBATION INTERNATIONAL INC	2008	231.54
50094182	SIMPLY VINO	2009	186.46
50093759	NATIONAL CITY COMMERCIAL CAPITAL CORP	2010	663.89
50092531	H2 TECHNOLOGIES INC	2011	184.36

50092210	IN DUE SEASON LLC	2012	183.98
50092505	STEELE STREET RENTAL LLC	2013	177.38
50092128	C & S PROPERTIES	2014	173.25
50092725	NEW DAY RENOVATIONS	2015	183.98
50074710	HUGO'S PAINTING INC	2016	64.22
50092725	NEW DAY RENOVATIONS	2017	215.41
50056351	R & K BODY SHOP	2018	220.26
50094829	ROMIRA ENTERPRISES INC	2019	170.68
50092238	HARRIS BROTHERS HOME IMPROVEMENTS INC	2020	192.25
50092128	C & S PROPERTIES	2021	175.10
50092210	IN DUE SEASON LLC	2022	215.41
50092531	H2 TECHNOLOGIES INC	2023	216.03
50092233	DUBOIS DO-IT SHOP		
50094625	EXXON ON THE RUN	2025	187.31
50089248	D & H MACHINE CO	2026	266.28
50092586	CAROLINA PROPERTY EXCHANGE LLC	2027	177.38
50092620	CAROLINA'S SPORTS CONNECTION INC	2028	184.36
50092174	CRESWELL CONSTRUCTION	2029	173.25
50092458	INNOVATIVE NATIONWIDE BUILDERS INC	2030	177.38
50092454	SLURRY SOLUTIONS LLC	2031	173.25
50092394	FORMAZ LLC	2032	173.25
50092141	CAROLINA CLEANING SOLUTIONS	2033	183.98
50092586	CAROLINA CLEANING SOLUTIONS	2034	179.28
50092174	CRESWELL CONSTRUCTION	2035	211.26
50092458	INNOVATIVE NATIONWIDE BUILDERS INC	2036	206.17

50092454	SLURRY SOLUTIONS LLC	2037	159.18
50092394	FORMAZ LLC	2038	201.36
50090704	CLEARLY AMAZING	2039	37.30
50092141	CAROLINA CLEANING SOLUTIONS	2040	215.41
50090567	FESMIRE CONCESSIONS INC	2041	168.08
50092652	SPA SOUTH INC	2042	5.84
50092009	TIDY DOG	2043	177.68
50081488	TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORP	2044	383.85
50094368	TYSON PATRICK PROPERTIES	2045	59.07
50094314	ANDERSON SIT N SLEEP INC	2046	145.43
50069133	WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL LEASING	2047	10.78
50030411	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2048	3,677.77
50034728	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2049	528.45
50034729	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2050	321.99
50034730	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2051	287.71
50093905	DIVINE CREATIONS AND RENOVATIONS	2052	153.58
50092233	DUBOIS DO-IT SHOP	2053	163.20
50093175	FINISH MASTER INC	2054	155.65
50092009	TIDY DOG	2055	168.74
50092238	HARRIS BROTHERS HOME IMPROVEMENTS INC	2056	164.24
50067282	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2057	344.77
50063343	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2058	7,976.90
50039423	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2059	811.68
50034731	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2060	3,509.34
50034732	TIME WARNER CABLE 142	2061	1,909.64
50091099	LANEY MICHAEL ADAM & MISTY DAWN	2062	169.48
50095276	SECREST SHIRLEY L	2063	39.10

50080940	YANACSEK ROBERT	2064	12.86
50080871	PURSER BOBBY JUNIOR & VICKY	2065	25.53
50094522	MULTICRAFT HOMES INC	2066	161.67
50079506	SIMPSON BOBBY FRANK	2067	24.51
50072962	SWAIM JOSEPH ALLEN & MARGIE ANN MCCOY	2068	10.60
50095887	STARNES MICHAEL B		
50083850	BOWERS CECIL M	2070	15.13
50083724	HONEYCUTT DAVID WALTER	2071	20.60
50091700	MCELROY STEVEN		
50080823	PRIVETTE GAY D & JANICE W		77.35
50094080	PRICE ALLEN J & CAROLYN E		
50095784	PIERCE SARAH E		18.17
50089914	SHUFF TIMOTHY	2076	11.32
50094472	COLEMAN ARTS PROGRAMS	2077	165.59
50093562	CORNERSTONE INITIATIVES	2078	2,395.58
08099012 01	SIMPSON ROMIE R	2079	1,171.69
09270078	PHILLIPS JUANITA MULLIS	2080	289.00
50082124	PARKER MICHAEL W & WIFE SANDRA	2081	127.66
50092298	GROUNDBREAKING EQUIPMENT INC	2082	15.69
50065404	CENTURY CONTRACTORS INC	2083	973.02
50073736	DOUG MCLAIN TRUCKING INC	2084	39.21
50095764	NEWTON CANDICE TRULL		
50065404	CENTURY CONTRACTORS INC	2086	332.95
50092382	CRITICAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS LLC	2087	16.67
50094359	WHEELER LINDA ANNETE	2088	2.52
02164010C	GARDNER C ARNOLD &	2089	1,068.25

08126005B	WF SHIRLEY		
50095755	BENTON JAMES LEANDER & WF ANN		
	LANEY RICKEY	2091	25.00
Totals			188,843.93

2005			
50069127	CARELOCK BRADY	1905	174.04
	BASCOM		
09128014N	SMOKEY JOE	1917	144.08
	PROPERTIES		
03054003A	SMITH DONALD R & WF	1923	759.59
	EUNICE C		
Totals			1,077.71

GRAND TOTALS			190,196.30
			190,196.30

Tax Administrator: Approved Fourth Motor Vehicle Release Register in the Net Grand Total of \$21,005.91- for the period of October 1, 2006 - October 31, 2006.

Tax Administrator: Approved Fourth Motor Vehicle Refund Register in the Net Grand Total of \$738.51- for the period of October 1, 2006 - October 31, 2006.

Tax Administrator: Approved Departmental Monthly Report for August 2006.

Tax Administrator: Approved request of Wycliffe Seed Company for late-filed exemption application and corresponding tax refund of \$991.83.

Finance: Approved Motor Vehicle Tax Refund Overpayments for October 2006 in the Amount of \$3,370.81.

Finance: Budget Transfer Report for October 2007 - moved to the Regular Agenda.

CONSIDERATION OF REQUEST BY VILLAGE OF MARVIN FOR ETJ (Public Hearing Held on November 16, 2006):
(This item was moved from Item 7 on Agenda):

Vice Chairman Sexton stated that prior to tonight's meeting, an action was brought against Union County to preclude the Board of Commissioners from taking action on this particular item, which was not successful. He said that the Board has heard from many citizens around Union County from every perspective regarding ETJ in the last few months and especially in the last few weeks. Vice Chairman Sexton said that each request for ETJ deserves consideration by its own merits and should be determined by its reasonableness, fairness, and rationale from all parties and perspective, including that of Union County.

He referred to the comments by Mayor Mike Cognac at the November 16, 2006, special meeting. He stated that Marvin's request excluded parcels that had been viewed by the town with minimal impact, four acres or less, while including those parcels viewed by the town with significant impact with five acres or more. Vice Chairman Sexton further stated that Marvin had chosen to exclude parcels of five acres or more because another municipality in the future could possibly annex them, which he said was also inconsistent with the ETJ authority. He said that Union County does not include or exclude parcels from its authority or jurisdiction based on size too big, too small, or the location or desirability. He further said that Union County exercises authority over all parcels regardless of the location, size, lucrativeness, or impact. Vice Chairman Sexton stated that the question has not been answered why four acre, three acre, two acre-parcels are being summarily excluded while contiguous to the town and contiguous to the five-acre parcels that are acceptable to Marvin.

He said that some of the five-acre parcels acceptable to Marvin are islands in the middle in what is now the County's jurisdiction and would do nothing to smooth out or bring consistency to the town's borders or bring common sense approach to possible future annexations, but it actually does just the opposite by bringing chaos and uncertainty to the town's future. Vice Chairman Sexton said that granting ETJ authority to those parcels of five acres or more to the exclusion of all others is unfair, unreasonable, impractical, and defeats the whole purpose of granting ETJ. He stated to grant this request would be no different than granting ETJ over subdivisions with homes valued at \$500,000 or more while excluding those homes valued less. He further stated that arbitrarily choosing and selecting which parcels are desirable and which ones are not is totally unacceptable, and the justification for granting ETJ in this case has not been made.

Following his comments, Vice Chairman Sexton moved that the request from the Village of Marvin for ETJ authority be denied. The motion was passed unanimously.

REQUEST BY TOWN OF STALLINGS FOR ETJ:

Chairman Lane recognized Linda Paxton, Mayor of the Town of Stallings, to address the Town's request for ETJ.

Mayor Paxton emphasized that the request by the Town of Stallings was a legitimate request by the Town's Council. She stated that the procedures for ETJ does not require that the County hold a public hearing regarding the request, but they do require that the Town requesting ETJ hold a public hearing. Mayor Paxton said that if the Board of Commissioners sees fit to grant the Town's request, the Town will refine the area in which it is requesting ETJ, notify the property owners, and hold public hearings before finalizing the affected parcels.

She stressed that while Stallings' request might appear to be hurried, the Town has had a long-term interest in ETJ for land within its borders and for those parcels contiguous to the Town. Mayor Paxton said that the Town currently has ETJ for nine parcels located in the Idlewild corridor that was granted in 2003. She noted that there had also been a previous request to a prior Board of County Commissioners that was never heard by the Board. She said that in looking at the map provided by the Town, Stallings is essentially a landlocked town with several doughnut holes within the Town's limits. Mayor Paxton stated that zoning control for those doughnut holes and those areas immediately outside the Town is crucial for the future development of the Town. She urged the Board to give the Town's request its serious and unbiased consideration, independent of previous decisions.

Mayor Paxton introduced Brian Matthews, Town Manager, for the Town of Stallings. Mr. Matthews reiterated that a previous request had come from the Town for ETJ in 2002, which was not heard by the Board. He stated that a request was granted to the Town at a later date in 2003 for a smaller area along Idlewild Road. He reviewed the purpose of ETJ, and said that ETJ is not intended to provide municipalities with indefinite development control over those properties but stressed that it is intended for future extension of services.

Mr. Matthews stated that it is not required that a municipality hold a public hearing on ETJ prior to approval by the Board of County Commissioners. He referred to a publication written by David Owens, a faculty member of the Institute of Government and a legal expert on North Carolina Planning and Zoning issues. He stated that the County's approval does not officially exercise the ETJ powers, but the municipality must adopt an ETJ ordinance prior to ETJ powers taking effect. He noted that when Stallings was granted ETJ in 2003, the Town followed this same process by notifying the property owners and held its public hearing and adopted its ordinance after the County Commissioners voted to approve the request.

Mr. Matthews pointed out that Wesley Chapel is a limited service government providing very little services. He referred to the Town of Stallings as a three-quarter service government as opposed to full service, because it provides all services other than water and

sewer, fire protection, and animal control. He said that the Town has a full-time police department, provides garbage service with recycling and yard waste pickup, parks and recreation, and maintains over 23 miles of streets in its town, which is the second largest amount of streets maintained in Union County. He referred to a recent North Carolina Supreme Court decision that invalidated an annexation by the Village of Marvin, because according to the court, Marvin did not provide for meaningful extension of municipal services. He said that he was not pointing out these factors because the Town of Stallings has issued with the County granting ETJ to the Villages of Marvin and Wesley Chapel. He said that the Town of Stallings commends both of these municipalities for their efforts for planning for the future, but he was pointing out these facts because of the three municipalities, the Town of Stallings is in the greatest position for providing for the extension of municipal services for those areas outside its town limits. He said that since the granting of ETJ to the Town of Stallings in 2003 in the Idlewild corridor, three properties have voluntarily annexed into the Town's jurisdiction. He stated that the Town has not attempted to diminish the existing uses of those properties and has sought to utilize those properties to their fullest and best extent.

Commissioner Rushing questioned several parcels shown on the map that he would consider being doughnut holes but not shown to be included in the Town's ETJ request. Mr. Matthews replied that those areas are located within another municipality. Commissioner Rushing questioned if the Town could annex those areas at this time. Mr. Matthews responded that the Town could not annex those areas at this time and pointed out that the properties must qualify for annexation by meeting certain requirements. He noted that large tracts of land do not typically qualify by themselves but must also have smaller tracts to meet the qualification requirements.

Commissioner Rushing asked Mr. Matthews if the Town had annexed the properties, which were previously approved by the Board in 2003 for ETJ. Mr. Matthews stated that three of those properties have been voluntarily annexed with six of the properties not requesting voluntary annexation. He reiterated that the Town has not sought to diminish the current uses of those properties. Commissioner Rushing discussed his continued concerns with ETJ. He stated that he had not supported requests by Wingate, Marshville, Wesley Chapel or Marvin. He said that he was not going to support ETJ for the Town of Stallings, because he believed the citizens need to be able to vote for the people in control of their destiny and their property.

Following the discussion by Commissioner Rushing, he moved to not accept the ETJ request by the Town of Stallings.

At the request of Vice Chairman Sexton, Mr. Matthews stated that a long-range plan had been assembled by the Land Use Committee and the doughnut holes shown on the map were identified as future annexation. He stated that the Town's Planning Board had voted unanimously to approve the Land Use Plan, which included those parcels.

Vice Chairman Sexton asked if the Town of Stallings was in the process of having a public hearing or did the Town want a decision by the Board of Commissioners prior to holding its public hearing. Mr. Matthews responded that the Town was waiting on the Board's decision. He explained that it is a cost to taxpayers for the Town to mail the notices and go through the process if the Board is not going to grant the ETJ. He said that was what had been done in 2003 when the Town had requested ETJ. Vice Chairman Sexton stated that this approach seemed to be somewhat out of sync with the process with which he was familiar. He said that he would like for the Board to call for its own public hearing to be sure that the public has been informed properly and to hear comments from those who might not be able to attend the Town's public hearing. He stated that he would decide each ETJ request on its own merits, and he thought that the due process needed to be fulfilled by the Town before the request was brought to the County.

Commissioner Pressley asked Mr. Matthews what the Town's plans were for ETJ and future annexations. Mr. Matthews responded that the Town did not have an official timeframe. He explained that the doughnut holes are the Town's primary goal. He said that it is difficult to annex larger tracts of property, because they do not qualify to enact involuntary annexation. He said that if he had to say, within five years, the Town would like to fill in the doughnut holes, and it might take several more years before getting to the larger tracts on the outside borders.

Mayor Paxton asked if she could address the question raised by Commissioner Rushing regarding representation. She stated that it is true that the residents in the ETJ area are not permitted to vote for Mayor or council members. However, she said, when the Town completed its ETJ in 2003, it was not required to give area representation on the Planning Board, but it elected to do so. Mayor Paxton stated that if it were not required now for the residents to have representation on that board, the Town Council would still elect to do so.

Commissioner Stone said that the Board of Commissioners for several years has taken the precedent that it believes the citizens in the ETJ area have the right for the Board to hear their points of view also. He stated for that reason, along with several other reasons discussed tonight, he could not support this issue until the Town's citizens have had the right to comment.

Commissioner Rushing questioned if the adjoining towns have any greater rights to annex the larger tracts than the Town of Stallings. Mr. Matthews responded that those towns have equally the same authority as the Town of Stallings to annex the properties. He confirmed that there are no interlocal agreements with the other towns stating that they would not try and annex those properties.

Vice Chairman Sexton stated that the Town seems to have gone through a part of the due process, and a part of it needs to be fulfilled before coming back to the Board of Commissioners, so rather than denying the Town's request, he offered a substitute motion to defer action on this request until the first meeting in December, contingent on the notifications being sent out to the residents.

Commissioner Rushing stated that he could support the substitute motion, but questioned the time constraints involved. He inquired whether the Town would have time to hold its public hearing and give the required notices with the upcoming holidays. He asked if the Board could take no action at all.

Mr. Crook explained the process that the Town would have to follow in giving notice.

Commissioner Pressley offered a second substitute motion to defer action on this item.

Vice Chairman Sexton stated that he would withdraw the contingency part of his substitute motion. The substitute motion as amended was passed unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF INCENTIVE GRANT - RE: PROJECT TARA:

Commissioner Rushing moved to award an incentive grant to Carolina Classifieds, LLC, in a total amount not to exceed \$89,383 or 25 percent less and to authorize the Manager to approve the Incentive Agreement reflecting those parameters. The motion was passed unanimously.

At approximately 8:06 p.m., the Chairman called for a ten-minute recess.

PRESENTATION AND ACCEPTANCE OF FISCAL YEAR 2006 COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT:

At approximately 8:23 p.m., Chairman Lane reconvened the recessed meeting and recognized Kai Nelson, Finance Director.

Mr. Nelson stated that prior to his comments, he wanted to recognize Russell Coleman, a partner in the firm of Cherry, Bekaert & Holland, LLP, the firm that conducted the audit for the fiscal year 2006. He stated that following his comments, Mr. Coleman would be providing the Board with some further information.

Mr. Nelson referred to the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and the Report on Compliance. He stated that in the past, both of these documents were combined into one document. He reminded the Board that last year the staff had suggested to the Board and the Board accepted the recommendation to adopt the Government Finance Officers Association's Recommended Practice regarding the

rotation of audit services. He explained that the policy adopted by the Board encourages the rotation of external auditing services at least every five years for the purpose of promoting and enhancing audit independence. Mr. Nelson stated that following a request for qualifications process, Cherry, Bekaert & Holland was appointed by the Board to perform the audit; it replaced a firm that had been conducting the audit work for 13 consecutive years.

Mr. Nelson addressed the following five points:

1. He directed the Board's attention to the Independent Auditor's Report Opinion contained in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. He stated that Cherry, Bekaert & Holland has provided the County with an unqualified (a clean - without qualification) opinion. He explained that this opinion is the highest form of assurance that the external auditors can express on the County's financial statements.
2. Mr. Nelson then referred to Page 12 of the opinion (dated September 29, 2006). He stated that this is the fourth consecutive report that has been completed in the month of September representing a timely completion of the audit. He contrasted the current successes of completing the audit in September to those in the 1990's when the audit was typically completed in the month of October. He stated that given this year was a transition year between audit firms, the timely completion of the report is a testament to both the county's Finance and internal audit staff as well as the audit engagement team assembled by Cherry, Bekaert & Holland.
3. Mr. Nelson noted that in addition to the report on the financial statements, the auditor has issued a separate report on compliance. He said that this report was issued as a part of the auditor's review of the County's compliance with various federal and state laws, regulations, contracts, and grant program. He stressed that the auditor found no instances of material non-compliance in connection with the applicable statutes and regulations and also noted no matters involving internal control weaknesses over financial reporting and operations.
4. He stated that there were a few process review items noted by the auditors, as set out on Pages 9 - 12 of the report. He noted that of significance there were no questions of costs, no money to be returned to the federal and state governments, and the fact that corrective action has already been implemented to address the conditions noted. He said that these items were all in the Department of Social Services' area of child care programs, and all of the findings have been resolved.
5. Mr. Nelson said that the County's General Fund Balance position (its savings account) is \$34.1 million. He stated that was exactly what was projected during the budget process. He explained that the \$34.1 million is calculated by adding the \$27.1 million in

undesignated and unreserved combined with the amount of money that the Board appropriated of approximately \$6.9 million to balance this year's budget. He said that the \$45.4 million in undesignated and unreserved has declined to the \$27.1 million and related to the \$20 million that the Board appropriated to fund much needed capital improvement program projects.

Mr. Nelson stated that the \$34.1 million represents roughly 15 percent of FY 2007 projected expenditures. He said that the Board's policy is 16 percent, and the savings account is slightly below the Board's adopted 16 percent. He explained that the difference in this percentage was due to an unanticipated consequence associated with merging in this year's budget both the school supplemental tax with the county's general fund. He stated that the effect of that was to increase general fund expenditures.

Mr. Nelson shared that his goal in every annual audit report is to strive for no surprises, to deliver a report that confirms the County's financial projections, and affirms its commitment to superior, internal controls and financial management. He stated that he believed this year's report accomplishes that goal. He then introduced Russell Coleman for his comments.

Mr. Coleman, on behalf of his firm, expressed his appreciation for the confidence that has been placed in Cherry, Bekaert & Holland as auditor for the County. He thanked management for its assistance in the performance of the audit.

Mr. Coleman stated that Mr. Nelson's summary of the audit was accurate in that the opinion was clean with no significant findings. He said that the financial statements referred to tonight are management's product. He stated that the audit firm's work only involves the reports or letters. He further stated that in addition to the audit of the financial statements, his firm audited internal control and performed some tests of compliance with laws and regulations.

He stated that auditors are required to inform the Board about significant accounting policies. Mr. Coleman said that all of the significant accounting policies are documented in the financial statements, and there were no changes in those policies for the year ending June 30, 2006. He stated that all financial statements have estimates, and auditors are required to also inform the Board about significant estimates. He said that there were no sensitive estimates meaning there are no estimates within the financial statements that are likely to change within a year or so.

Mr. Coleman said that auditors have to inform the Board if they make any significant adjustments, and stated that there were no adjustments made to the County's financial statements. Finally, he said that auditors were required to inform the Board if they found any matters that they felt should have been adjusted but were not. He noted that there was a very insignificant matter that could have been adjusted, but the auditors decided because of its insignificance, no adjustment was made to the water and sewer fund.

He expressed his appreciation to the staff in its assistance to his firm in conducting the audit.

Chairman Lane thanked Mr. Coleman for his presentation and to Kai Nelson and the Finance Department for the great job that they do. Commissioner Stone expressed his personal appreciation to Mr. Nelson and stated that he has been a credit to the County since his employment with the County.

Chairman Lane moved to accept the FY 2006 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. The motion was passed unanimously.

EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS - PURCHASE OF COMPUTER ASSISTED DISPATCH (CAD) RECORDS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (RMS):

Pat Beekman, Homeland Security Director, stated that he had come before the Board approximately one year ago and discussed changes that needed to be made to the County's 9-1-1 center, including a change in technology. He said that he had come before the Board during the budget process to discuss that the County needed a new Computer Dispatch and Records Management System, and as a result, \$2.5 million was approved on the CIP to purchase a CAD RMS.

He asked the Board to approve Sunguard OSSI for the purchase of its CAD RMS package that will be built specifically for Union County Emergency Communications and to authorize the County Manager to approve the contract for the purchase of the CAD RMS for a price not to exceed \$2.5 million.

Mr. Beekman stated that a Request for Proposals was released on October 6, 2006, and qualified proposals were received from TriTech Software Systems, New World Systems, and Sunguard OSSI. He said that all three firms are highly experienced and reputable companies with extensive experience in developing CADS for various counties and law enforcement agencies throughout the country. However, he noted that TriTech Software Systems was presenting a new RMS package for the first time; therefore, causing concern over its total systems performance. He said for that reason TriTech's proposal was considered unfavorable by the committee. He stated that between the two remaining proposals, New World Systems and Sunguard OSSI, the recommendation came down to performance and cost, and, in the opinion of the selection team, Sunguard OSSI was the superior CAD RMS package. When it comes to performance, he noted that Sunguard OSSI was also the least expensive of the three proposals received. He stated that a contract would need to be negotiated with Sunguard due to the highly complex nature of a CAD RMS to be built specifically for Union County. Mr. Beekman said that the final price would be dependent upon some interfaces that might or might not be included in the final purchase. However, he said that the cost would be

below the \$2.5 million budgeted in the CIP regardless of what interfaces are decided need to be purchased. He pointed out that OSSIs bid was approximately \$2.1 million with modifications and without fire, but that certain modifications would still need to be negotiated.

The bids are recorded below:

Comparison of Cost Proposals													
	Total Cost w/o Fire ³	Total Cost with Mods, Without Fire,	Comments on Total	Cost for Fire	Totals	Annual Maint. (per yr.)	Annual Maint. With Mods (per yr.)	Deccan Price	Deccan Interface	Stratus Servers 1	Hardware Costs 2	HW included/not included	Hardware Maint. Costs
NW	\$2,114,309.00	\$2,450,014.00	includes only CAD workstations (none for CAD Admin, CAD Training or RMS or mugshot)	\$111,135.00	\$4,675,458.00	\$237,214.00	\$274,739.00	\$47,731.00	\$39,776.00	\$45,000.00	\$304,400.00	no CAD Admin, Training or Mugshot workstations included	no quote
OSSI	\$2,013,858.00	\$2,128,677.00	includes all requested workstations (CAD, Training, Admin and Mugshot)	\$390,510.00	\$4,533,045.00	\$263,226.00	\$267,712.00	no quote	\$20,000.00	\$71,158.67	\$360,658.00	includes CAD Admin, Training or Mugshot workstations	no quote
Tri Tech	\$2,486,529.46	\$2,486,529.46		\$454,884.00	\$5,427,942.92	\$376,246.00	n/a	\$62,050.00	incl. In total Deccan price	\$62,194.80	\$323,300.00		\$18,084.00
1. NW quote does not include the Operating System License, SQL Server License or NW implementation services. OSSI and TriTech did include all items.													
2. NW quote does not include shipping costs. OSSI includes \$1500 shipping and Tri Tech includes \$4000 shipping.													
See next worksheet for details on servers and workstations quoted.													
3. NW provided only ESTIMATES for the enhancements, travel and custom interfaces. NW did not quote a site license for mobile CAD or mobile RMS. NW has no internet CAD browser. OSSI and NW did propose site licenses for both mobile CAD and mobile RMS. TriTech is only charging \$40,000 to integrate (not interface) with Valor's RMS. \$75000 of total prices includes a CAD to CAD interface with MEDIC, which neither OSSI nor NW can provide.													

Commissioner Stone moved to accept the bids, award the bid to Sunguard OSSI for the purchase of its CAD/RMS package for Union County Emergency Communications, and authorize the County to approve a contract with Sunguard OSSI in an amount not to exceed \$2.5 million and adopt Budget Amendment #27 increasing Capital Outlay by \$1,711,144 and Fund Balance Appropriated by \$1,711,144. The motion further included adoption of Capital Project Ordinance #64.

CAPITAL PROJECT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT													
BUDGET	General CPO Fund					REQUESTED BY	Kai Nelson						

FISCAL YEAR		FY 2006-2007		DATE		November 14, 2006	
PROJECT SOURCES				PROJECT USES			
Source	Project	Requested	Revised	Project	Project	Requested	Revised
Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project	Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project
Interfund Transfer	-	369,771	369,771	This General CPO Project	-	369,771	369,771
	-	-	-				
	-	369,771	369,771		-	369,771	369,771
EXPLANATION:	Appropriate General Fund Capital Reserve funds to E911 CAD/RMS project.						
DATE:				APPROVED BY:	Bd of Comm/County Manager Lynn West/Clerk to the Board		
FOR FINANCE POSTING PURPOSES: ONLY							
PROJECT SOURCES				PROJECT USES			
Source	Project	Requested	Revised	Project	Project	Requested	Revised
Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project	Description and Code	To Date	Amendment	Project
IFT from General Fund	-	369,771	369,771	PR037-E911 CAD/RMS	-	369,771	369,771

40-443200-4010-PR037			40-543200-5550-PR037				
			-	369,771	369,771		
						-	369,771
							369,771
Prepared By	ahj						
Posted By							
Date						Number	CPO - 64

At the request of Commissioner Rushing, Gary Thomas, Director of Emergency Communications, explained that an option was included in the proposals for fire. He said that the City of Monroe had indicated an interest of coming over to the County's system. He stated that currently the County fire departments use a system called Logics; and, therefore, there would need to be further discussions with the City of Monroe. Mr. Thomas said that it is possible that the Logics system might meet the City's needs.

Commissioner Pressley pointed out that TriTech was the only bidder who had quoted on the hardware maintenance cost. He asked if the County would be allowed to go to another vendor for the maintenance contract. Mr. Thomas stated that the County would be obligated to go with the software vendor. He said that it was believed to be in the best interest of the County for the vendor to supply both the hardware and software. He offered that the staff could look at going outside to another vendor if that were the Board's desire. Commissioner Pressley said he was concerned that there would need to be a quote if it were approved to purchase the package from Sunguard OSSI.

It was clarified that the hardware maintenance cost was included in the proposal for the first year and after the first year, that cost would need to be included in the budget.

Following the discussion, the motion was passed unanimously.

WATERLINE EXTENSION TO SERVE NEW SALEM VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Commissioner Stone stated several residents in the New Salem area have asked about upgrading New Salem Volunteer Fire Department's rating so the insurance rates would come down. He said that this item was not to upgrade the fire department's rating.

The agenda package contained cost estimates for the Love Mill Road Water Line Extension to serve the New Salem Volunteer Fire Department, Station 5 as follows:

Recommended 8-inch line	Total Project Cost \$157,900
Minimum 6-inch line	Total Project Cost \$116,000

It was noted on the agenda abstract that a minimum of a six-inch line would be required for fire protection, but an eight-inch line was recommended by Public Works.

Commissioner Stone moved to approve taking \$100,000 from Contingency and the remaining portion of the project cost of approximately \$57,900 to be taken from the enterprise fund to extend an eight-inch waterline along Love Mill Road to serve the New Salem Volunteer Fire Department.

Commissioner Stone stated that not directly tied to the budget amendments, he would also ask the County Manager to work with the New Salem Volunteer Fire Department to determine if there are any other ways that the County could assist in attaining the upgrade for the purposes of insurance rating.

Commissioner Pressley asked if this were the same waterline that had been discussed by the Board several years ago. Commissioner Stone responded that it was the same waterline.

Commissioner Stone clarified that his motion was for an eight-inch waterline, which would allow residents along Love Mill Road to hook onto the line also.

Vice Chairman Sexton asked the approximate distance of the Love Mill Road line to the New Salem Volunteer Fire Department. Ms. Putnam responded that it would be fed off Highway 218 and is approximately 2,700 feet.

Following the discussion, the motion was passed unanimously.

Commissioner Stone moved to authorize the Chairman to approve the necessary budget amendments as outlined in the previous motion. The motion was passed unanimously.

Mr. Shalati clarified that the costs presented were estimates only and there were no bids.

GENERAL SERVICES:

a. Government Center Phase II Renovations - Bid Award Recommendation

Following the explanation of the request by Barry Wyatt, Director of Union County General Services, Vice Chairman Sexton moved to accept the bids for the Government Center Phase II Renovations as recorded below, award the bid to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder, Carpenter Construction Company, Inc. in the amount of \$827,871, and authorize the Manager to approve the contract documents. The motion was approved unanimously.

The bids received on November 9, 2006, on this project are recorded below:

**Bid Tabulation
Union County Government Center
Office Renovation Phase II
9 November 2006**

GENERAL CONTRACTORS	BASE BID	ALTERNATE #1 PROPRIETARY MATERIALS AND SUPPLIERS	TOTAL

John M. Campbell Company	\$ 985,000.00	No Change	\$ 985,000.00
Carpenter Construction Co.	\$ 827,871.00	No Change	\$ 827,871.00
Eagle Wood, Inc.			
Fabco Construction, Inc.	\$1,077,509.00	No Change	\$1,092,509.00
R. J. Fisher Construction Co.	\$1,042,000.00	No Change	\$1,042,000.00
McCorkle & Meacham, LLC			
Montieth Construction Corp.			
Sam Tyson Builders	\$ 995,000.00	No Change	\$ 995,000.00

b. Amendment to Contract with Ramsay Burgin Smith Architects for Design Services for Government Center, Historic Post Office and the Public Works Building Renovations (Funding was Included in CPO #53)

Following the introduction of the item by Barry Wyatt, Director of General Services, Chairman Lane moved to authorize the Manger to approve Amendment 1 to the contract with Ramsay Burgin Smith Architects in the amount of \$471,913 plus a not to exceed amount of \$33,800 for reimbursable expenses. The motion was passed unanimously.

UNION COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS CAPITAL DESIGN FUNDS AND LAND FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL "J":

Kai Nelson, Finance Director, explained that the requested Capital Project Ordinance would provide design funds for Elementary Schools "J", "K", the Monroe and Weddington High School Athletic Facilities, and the expansion renovation at Wesley Chapel. He stated that all of these projects were a part of the bond referendum and all were included in the approved Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). Further, he stated that the capital project ordinance provides \$20,000 in earnest money to secure examination rights in connection with the "J" site. Mr. Nelson said that the schools would be before the Board again following that examination in connection with the purchase price.

There was discussion regarding the \$150,000 included on the Capital Project Ordinance for the Weddington High School Athletic Facilities. Commissioner Pressley asked if this amount was for lights for the ballfields.

Don Hughes of the Union County Public Schools explained that the \$150,000 request was for design fees and other soft costs to perform the design work. He said that the project might include some lights but stated that the major item of the project was the football stadium upgrade.

Commissioner Pressley stated that lights were needed for the fields. He asked if there was any money in the \$150,000 to include the lights. Mr. Hughes assured that they would look at the need for the lights on the fields, but he could not assure that the costs could be incorporated within the project amount. He reiterated that the major portion of the project was the football stadium upgrade, and they could not exceed the \$150,000 budget.

Vice Chairman Sexton said that the lights for the ballfields at Weddington High have been an issue for awhile since Weddington is in the 4A conference. He asked what priority method had been used to distinguish the money that had been allocated for the football stadium over and above the need for the baseball lighting.

Mr. Hughes responded that he could not answer that question but the Board of Education had directed him to develop the scope for football stadiums for Monroe High and Weddington High. He stated that they were also looking into lighting other fields and not just baseball fields, because the same problem exists with tennis courts to be able to host tournaments. He shared that there was also a question of equity among all the schools that also had to be considered.

Following discussion, Commissioner Pressley moved to adopt Capital Project Ordinance 65 except for the \$150,000 and to go back to Dr. Davis and discuss priorities before approving that amount.

He said that possibly the \$150,000 portion of the Capital Project Ordinance could be brought back to the Board and incorporate both the football stadium upgrade and the lights.

Mr. Hughes commented that as far as the schedule, the schools would be able to wait on the Weddington stadium upgrade, because it was not as critical as some of the other schools.

Chairman Lane offered a substitute motion to adopt Capital Project Ordinance #65 but to ask the Board of Education to bring a request to the Board of Commissioners for further funding for the baseball field and tennis court lights.

Commissioner Pressley said that he thought it was just as important for the lights as the stadium for safety reasons. He said that he would prefer to look at both requests as one project.

Vice Chairman Sexton stated that he thought the purpose behind the original motion was to have some justification for the Board of Education to come back to the Board of Commissioners over a serious safety issue that is not being addressed. He said that he was going to support the primary motion with the commitment to address the safety issue of the ballfield lights.

Chairman Lane withdrew his substitute motion.

Following the discussion, the motion was passed unanimously.

CAPITAL PROJECT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT							
BUDGET	School Bond Fund - 55			REQUESTED BY	Kai Nelson		
FISCAL YEAR	FY 2006-2007			DATE	November 20, 2006		
PROJECT SOURCES				PROJECT USES			
Source	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project	Project Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
G.O. Bond Proceeds	357,949,497	2,486,053	360,435,550	New Elementary School J (115C-429b project allocation)	-	860,700	860,700
All Other Revenue	1,363,308	-	1,363,308	New Elementary School K (115C-429b project allocation)	5,950	840,700	846,650

				Monroe High School Athletic Facilities (115C-429b project allocation)	-	337,000	337,000
AS REVISED AND APPROVED					-		-
				Wesley Chapel Elementary School Additions & Renovations (115C-429b project allocation)	-	447,653	447,653
				All Other School Projects	359,306,855	-	359,306,855
	359,312,805	2,486,053	361,798,858		359,312,805	2,486,053	361,798,858
EXPLANATION: Additional funding requests submitted by UCPS associated with projects listed above pursuant to 115C-429b.							
DATE:				APPROVED BY:			
				Bd of Comm/County Manager Lynn West/Clerk to the Board			
FOR FINANCE POSTING PURPOSES ONLY							
PROJECT SOURCES				PROJECT USES			
Source	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project	Project Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
G.O. Bond Proceeds	357,949,497	2,486,053	360,435,550	New Elementary School J (115C-	-	840,700	840,700

				429b project allocation)			
55491100-4710-530				55559200-5586-515			
				New Elementary School J (115C-429b project allocation)	-	20,000	20,000
				55559200-5570-515			
				New Elementary School K (115C-429b project allocation)	5,950	840,700	846,650
				55559200-5586-559			
				Monroe High School Athletic Facilities (115C-429b project allocation)	-	337,000	337,000
				55559200-5586-514			
				Weddington High School Athletic Facilities (115C-429b project allocation)	-	-	-
				55559200-5586-513			
				Wesley Chapel Elementary School Additions & Renovations (115C-429b project	-	447,653	447,653

				allocation)			
				55559200-5586-512			
	357,949,497	2,486,053	360,435,550		5,950	2,486,053	2,492,003
Prepared By	dhc						
Posted By							
Date						Number	CPO - 65a

[Note: CPO 65a revises and replaces CPO 65 in accordance with action taken by Board]

APPOINTMENT OF A COUNTY COMMISSIONER TO SERVE ON THE JUVENILE CRIME PREVENTION COUNCIL (JCPC):

Commissioner Pressley moved to appoint Chairman Lane to serve on the Juvenile Crime Prevention Council (JCPC). The motion was approved unanimously.

USE OF THE AGRI-BUSINESS CENTER BY DR. KING'S ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE:

Chairman Lane stated that this item was a request for a waiver of rental fees for use of the Agri-Business Center for the annual Martin Luther King, Jr. banquet to be held on Saturday, January 13 at 5:00 p.m. He said that the Dr. King Organization Committee organizes the event each year, which is a non-profit organization made up of area pastors and community leaders.

Commissioner Stone moved to approve the request for the annual Martin Luther King, Jr., banquet to be held on Saturday, January 13 at 5:00 p.m.

Mike Shalati, County Manager, stated that if the Board approved the request, it would need to appropriate the funds so that the fees would be paid, which are approximately \$500.

Commissioner Stone amended his motion to authorize that the funds be taken from contingency to cover the fees for use of the Agri-Business Center for the Martin Luther King, Jr. banquet. [Budget Amendment #28].

Vice Chairman Sexton questioned if the motion included the Martin Luther King, Jr. banquet as well as the Veterans Day Services.

Mr. Shalati said that the request was for the Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. banquet.

Vice Chairman Sexton offered an amendment to the motion to also include appropriation of funds for the fees charged for the use of the Agri-Business Center by the Veterans Services for the Veterans Day events.

Commissioner Rushing interjected that there were a number of non-profit organizations using the Agri-Services Center and suggested that the Board might want to consider allowing non-profit organizations to use it a lower rate. Mr. Shalati responded that non-profits already use the facility at a lower rate.

Jeff Crook, Staff Attorney, clarified that the motion was not for a waiver of fees. He said that the manner in which the County Manager had structured it, it would be an appropriation.

Commissioner Pressley asked for clarification if the motion being voted on included Veterans Services as well. Chairman Lane responded that Veterans Services was included.

Following the discussion, the substitute motion was passed unanimously.

FINANCE: BUDGET TRANSFER REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2006: (Moved from Consent Agenda):

Commissioner Rushing asked Kai Nelson, Finance Director, to explain the item listed on Page 7 of the Budget Transfer Report for October 2006 for Professional Services for \$37,886.

Mr. Nelson stated that this particular item began on Page 6 continued on Page 7-10 and all relate to the same action. He explained that in the audit report on Page 93, there was a reservation of encumbrances and a reservation for special purposes. He said that items ordered and encumbered in last year's budget but were not received until this year's budget, have been charged to this year's budget. He stated that the money was taken from last year's budget and placed it into this year's budget. Further, Mr. Nelson explained that the

unexpended balances from the Commissioners' legal line item from last year, under the Board's direction, were rolled forward into this year's budget.

Following the discussion, Chairman Lane moved approval of the Budget Transfer Report for October 2006 as submitted. The motion was passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S COMMENTS:

Mike Shalati, County Manager, expressed appreciation to Vice Chairman Sexton, Commissioner Stone, and Commissioner Rushing for their service to the citizens of the County over the past four years. He reminded those Board members of the many accomplishments during their tenures on the Board.

Mr. Shalati stated that the new Board Room will be completed on November 30, 2006, and will be available for dedication on December 1, 2006, at 4:30 p.m. He asked the Board to consider suspending its Rules of Procedure to move the location of the December 4, 2006, meeting from the ninth floor, Board Room, to the new Board Room on the first floor of the Government Center.

Following Mr. Shalati's comments, Commissioner Stone moved to suspend the Rules of Procedure and adopt a Resolution to Revise the Regular Meeting Location of the Union County Board of Commissioners for the December 4, 2006, Board Meeting. The motion was passed unanimously.

RESOLUTION TO REVISE THE REGULAR MEETING LOCATION
OF THE UNION COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
FOR THE DECEMBER 4, 2006, BOARD MEETING

WHEREAS, the Union County Board of Commissioners has heretofore established the regular meeting schedule of the Board such that regular meetings are held on the first and third Monday of each month beginning at 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the adopted meeting schedule all meetings shall be held in the Commissioners' Boardroom on the 9th Floor of the Union County Courthouse (now Union County Government Center); and

WHEREAS, the Board desires to relocate the regular meeting scheduled for December 4, 2006, and all regular meetings thereafter to the new Board Room located on the first floor of the Union County Government Center.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Union County Board of Commissioners as follows:

The regular meeting of the Union County Board of Commissioners scheduled for December 4, 2006, and all regular meetings thereafter shall be relocated to the new Board Room on the first floor of the Union County Government Center, located at 500 North Main Street, Monroe, North Carolina. Except as herein modified, the regular meeting schedule for the Union County Board of Commissioners shall remain in full force and effect.

Adopted this 20th day of November, 2006.

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS:

Commissioner Stone asked to reserve the last motion to adjourn the meeting since he has served twelve years on the Board of Commissioners. He thanked the staff for their work during his time of service on the Board of Commissioners.

He commented on the Agri-Services Center stating that Union County's Agri-Services Center is the only facility of its kind in the state built with County monies while all other Agri-Services Centers in the state were built with state monies.

Vice Chairman Sexton said that he has tried to represent Union County in a progressive, forthright and honest way on each and every occasion. He stated that every vote and decision that he has made as a Commissioner was made on the merits of each case and what was best for Union County. He reviewed a number of the accomplishments that have been made during his tenure on the Board.

He expressed appreciation to the members of the staff for their work during his tenure, members of the Board, and County employees.

Commissioner Rushing said he thought tonight's meeting had been excellent. He stated that he has learned something from every Commissioner on the Board. He said that he would ask that the new Board work to understand and have a voice in the utilities in the County.

Commissioner Pressley said he had enjoyed serving on the Board with Vice Chairman Sexton, Commissioner Rushing, and Commissioner Stone. He stated that he appreciated the support of the Board on the initiatives and motions that he has brought to the Board during his tenure on the Board.

Chairman Lane said that he enjoyed serving as Chairman of the Board this past year and has enjoyed working with the Board. He thanked Commissioner Stone and Vice Chairman Sexton for their assistance this year.

With there being no further comments or business to come before the Board, at approximately 9:35 p.m., Commissioner Stone moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion was passed unanimously.