

October 6, 2008

The Union County Board of Commissioners met in regular session on Monday, October 6, 2008, at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room, first floor, Union County Courthouse, Monroe, North Carolina. The following were

PRESENT: Chairman Allan Baucom, Vice Chairman Kevin Pressley, Commissioner Roger Lane, and Commissioner Lanny Openshaw

ABSENT: Commissioner A. Parker Mills, Jr.

ALSO PRESENT: Al Greene, County Manager, Lynn G. West, Clerk to the Board of Commissioners, Matthew Delk, Assistant County Manager, Kai Nelson, Finance Director, Jeff Crook, Staff Attorney, members of the press, and interested citizens

OPENING OF MEETING:

Invocation:

The Chairman called the meeting to order and asked Vice Chairman Pressley to present the invocation. At the conclusion of the invocation, the Chairman asked him to lead the reciting of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Pledge of Allegiance:

Vice Chairman Pressley led the body and audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States.

PUBLIC HEARING – WATER ALLOCATION POLICY:

The Chairman asked the County Manager to make the necessary introductions. Mr. Greene introduced Kevin Mosteller, representing HDR, who made a brief presentation and overview of the proposed water allocation policy prior to the public hearing.

Mr. Mosteller explained that the Board of Commissioners has received a semblance of this presentation a couple of times, but it has been abbreviated for the public hearing tonight. He said, as explained before, the Union County Public Works Department serves water in

two distinct service areas. The first is fed by the Anson County Water Treatment Plant and the other is the area served by the Catawba River Water Treatment Plant, which is shared with Lancaster County and that service area. He stated that the Water Allocation Policy that has been provided tonight focuses on the Catawba River Water Plant Service Area. Mr. Mosteller explained his presentation with the assistance of a power point presentation. The first slide showed the daily water demand starting with May of 2007 through August of 2008. He said this graph shows a number of excursions above the red line, which indicates Union County's share of the permitted capacity of the Catawba River Water Treatment Plant. He noted that there were a number of days since last May that Union County had exceeded its allocation capacity. He also noted that there were comments on these slides noting one-day per week irrigation or two-day per week irrigation and somewhere around November of 2007, there was no outdoor irrigation. Mr. Mosteller said the purpose of showing this figure is to illustrate the impact that outdoor irrigation has on the daily water demand in the Catawba River service area and why it is important to relate future water allocation to the irrigation demand that is placed on the system.

He related that a lot of the capacity that has been talked about in the Water Allocation Policy relate to the annual average demand placed on the system by a user. Mr. Mosteller said this is an important number but what is also important is the peak day demand; the amount of demand on the highest day that the County would experience in the system. He said it is important to distinguish those two terms; most of the values that will be pointed out in the Water Allocation Policy relate to annual daily average demand.

Mr. Mosteller said the next graph illustrates Union County's peak demand as a peaking factor above the annual average demand. He noted that the peak day demand versus the annual average day demand is about two to one, which, if compared to other utilities shown on the same graph, is a pretty significant difference to some of the other regional peers that use the Catawba River as their water supply source; City of Charlotte, City of Hickory, and City of Gastonia. He also commented that the additional peaking demand that the County has above some of its neighbors is a demand that ultimately has to be captured by way of water treatment plant capacity as well as hydraulic capacity in the County's distribution system. Mr. Mosteller said there is a cost to that; there is cost to provide that extra capacity in the water plant. He stated that some figures had been evaluated at a concept level of \$16 million to provide the additional peaking demand in new water infrastructure for what is typically 52 days per year. He said as the Water Allocation Policy was developed and knowing that irrigation demand was an important factor in how much water could be allocated to new development projects, there was a table developed [and shown] that illustrates that if the County were to adopt a no-water use restriction, meaning full-operation irrigation and opportunities for customers—or even going to two-day per week outdoor irrigation or even one-day per week irrigation, if those were limited to the weekends, it would really be no additional capacity to allocate because when you review the figure earlier shown, those irrigation restrictions were in place previously when the County had the excursions.

Therefore, he said what HDR and the County staff looked at was distributing the irrigation demand over five days and providing approximately 1.9 million gallons per day of average capacity. Mr. Mosteller explained that if the County were to go to no outdoor irrigation for customers, the County could add up to 4.8 million gallons per day capacity. He pointed out that neither of those two approaches has any sort of history of knowing exactly what the County would have. He said that is why they have said the numbers are estimated, but assured that he feels confident that is the amount of water that is available to allocate to new customers as the County goes forward. He further said that the five-day distributed irrigation demand has been in place about a month now and the results have been very positive. Mr. Mosteller said that as a side note, the team that has worked on the Water Allocation Policy is looking at a greater spread over seven days and it is hoped that information could be brought back in two weeks to determine what value that might bring.

He also noted that despite the water use restrictions being in place for the water allocation policy, the County will be asked to follow a low-inflow protocol that the Drought Management Advisory Group puts in place for the Catawba-Wateree River Basin. He further explained that the Water Allocation Policy was developed with a purpose to do those things listed in the bullets as shown on the slide before the audience and Commissioners and that he would read into the record. He said the team tried to prioritize new water needs and to establish guidelines for allocating the limited water treatment capacity in a fair, rational, and lawful manner, taking into consideration among other things:

- The need to fulfill outstanding legal obligations Union County has, if any, to provide water system service;
- The need to reserve capacity to serve public school facilities, County projects, and other governmental facilities
- The desire to treat alike all development projects that are similarly situated as to (i) type of project; and (ii) stage of progress in the procedures required for obtaining a permit or otherwise connecting to the Union County Water System;
- To promote non-residential development projects in Union County in order to increase the County's employment and property tax base while imposing fewer demands on the County's already overburdened schools;
- The desire to coordinate this Policy with the Sewer Allocation Policy and provide water service to those receiving wastewater capacity;
- The desire to incorporate flexibility into the Policy to allow adjustments as deemed necessary by changes in water demand or water treatment capacity available; and
- The need to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

Mr. Mosteller said that with those as guidelines, the team prioritized new development projects that it could identify and pointed out that the team has spent months trying to identify every possible development project that has moved forward to the County and that has not been constructed. He pointed out that many of those projects go back for several years. He explained that these projects have been

summarized in Table 1 that is attached the Water Allocation Policy and divided those into priorities A, B, C, and D. He said he was not going to review those unless specifically requested to do so. He noted that Priorities A's account for about 2.4 million gallons per day annual average flow; Priorities B's account for about 1.1 million gallons per day annual average flow; Priorities C's account for 1 million gallons per day annual average flow; and Priorities D's account for about 10,000 gallons per day annual average flow. He said if this is studied graphically against the amount that is available to be allocated under various water use restrictions, it would be noted that if the County has no water use restrictions in place, there is no additional capacity to allocate. He said that Priorities A's can nearly be allocated with the one-day per week irrigation restriction distributed over five days and if the County allowed no outdoor water irrigation all projects could be covered. He said the team's recommendation is to allocate water under this Policy to all the Priorities A's and B's development projects that have been identified and then the County put in place the five-day irrigation spread and possibly the seven-day spread. Mr. Mosteller said this would be until such time that the County hits a flow that reaches the County's capacity and then it would need to move toward a no outdoor water irrigation restriction, if necessary.

He further explained that the Policy was designed for flexibility because a lot of things could change—both in the amount of water available for supply as well as the demand: things like weather patterns and climate impacts as it relates to water use by the customer base. He noted that another impact might be the recent change in the rate structure and how that might impact the water demand. Mr. Mosteller said the economy, which is foremost on everyone's minds, could impact development schedules in the service areas. He said the County is also looking at peak capacity relief from Lancaster County. He emphasized that it has been designed so as the County moves forward, it can be flexible and meet a number of different needs. Mr. Mosteller said it was believed that it was important to set as a precedent, the one-day a week irrigation spread over five or seven days and then measure that and adapt the Policy to what we find from that study. He noted that thus far those findings have been very good. He, again, stated that the County would utilize a no outdoor irrigation as a contingency if the County hits that capacity. He noted it is important to fast track projects that will bring more capacity on line and then parallel that with a water reclamation program to relieve some of the peak demands.

Mr. Mosteller asked for questions. Commissioner Openshaw asked if in Priority A, 6.1., fourth bullet point, page 11, it talks about reserve allocation for “non-residential/government facilities projects, not otherwise listed in Table 1. This allocation will be utilized for projects that are estimated to be 5,000 gallons/day or less.” He said he was curious to know what the number is that has been allocated to be set aside. Mr. Mosteller responded that 100,000 gallons per day total with each project not exceeding 5,000 gallons per day. He explained this would prevent someone from coming up and taking the total allocation the first day.

The Chairman stated that with there being no other questions from the Board that he would open the public hearing. He set forth the guidelines that have been adopted for hearings. He asked each speaker to limit their remarks to three minutes and to give their name and address. He first recognized Bill Thomas who had registered to speak.

Bill Thomas gave his name and stated that he lives at 9404 Mill Grove Road, Indian Trail and also Fairview. He addressed his concern for current citizens who have bad water or arsenic levels in their wells and noted that there are many of those in the Fairview area. He said he had visited many homes on his road asking about installing waterlines, and he understands that the County doesn't lay water lines. Mr. Thomas said he is concerned that if there is a current waterline out there, he would like to have the citizens of this County to be able to connect to it; particularly, if they have bad water or arsenic. He also expressed his opinion that if there is a new line installed, he thought that in the capacity amount allocated, there should be an amount set aside for citizens who could connect to the new line. He said he was also concerned about page four of the graphs included in the policy "that residential reserve caps are 640". He said the footnote with that says that the annual amounts from 2005-2007 is 3,300. He said that would be about 1,000 per year and further noted that it also said that the County is only adding about 160 residential accounts per year for tap only. He said he understood the 640 taps were for four years instead of one year. He thanked the Board for allowing him to make comments and express his concern.

Buddy Morrow stated that he lives at 3999 Camesback Road, Mooresville, NC. He stated that he is here tonight representing the North Carolina Green Industry Council, which represents 153,000 growers, landscapers, and irrigation contractors throughout the State. He said they grow the largest crop in North Carolina and the fourth largest nursery crop grown in the United States. He said much of that is grown here in Union County. Mr. Morrow said he was not present to complain about water restrictions but to thank the Board for the water the County is allowing them to use in the landscapes. He proposed a partnership to develop solutions to problems like Union County has. He explained that they had looked around the country for national experts to offer solutions to these types of problems like Union County has; solutions to satisfying homeowners who have invested in their landscapes and who want to have water for that use; solutions for developers who need water for expansion for economic growth in Union County; solutions that will stabilize the County's rates when the water usage declines, and solutions that will help manage the County's peak demand problems. He said their solutions look as the County's peaks and ask how the peaks can be captured to be used for the growth needs while supplying water to the current residents to keep them happy. He said what the North Carolina Green Council did was to look at studies from across the nation and learned that some of the studies produced 95 percent satisfaction with the existing residents and saved 20 to 40 percent of the water that they had been using in outdoor irrigation. Mr. Morrow said that brought the peaks down to a level that was manageable and allowed the extra water for use for development. Mr. Morrow said he talked with some of the County Management staff on Friday and told them about the study. He requested the Board's blessing to continue some studies and perhaps consider a pilot program made up of three parts: (1) public education,

(2) look at new ways to grow water to unbundle the County's rates and to help stabilize the rates when the County has a decline and (3) method of using water more efficiently.

Matthew Delk, Assistant County Manager, interjected that Mr. Morrow had shared with him some new technology that is being studied as a pilot program in Mecklenburg County and also being successfully implemented in California. He said it is basically a satellite driven technology that has an installation box that replaces irrigation system controls at the residential level that scientifically figures out how much water the grass needs based on weather patterns that come through the satellite; type of grass, etc. Mr. Delk said that it was fairly sophisticated and stated that he thought it would be worth some time for the County staff to look at a pilot of this project in conjunction with this fine organization.

The next person to speak was Daune Gardner, Mayor of Waxhaw, 209 McKimmons Street, Waxhaw. She said her remarks tonight were not necessarily reflective of the Waxhaw Board of Commissioners. First, she admitted that she had not studied the draft nor had she followed the process very closely. She asked the Board of Commissioners to keep in mind one thing as it considers the Water Allocation Policy and that is jobs. Ms. Gardner said she had the privilege of speaking today with Jennifer Roberts, Chair of the Mecklenburg County Commissioners, who expressed a great concern about the future of economic development in this region especially as it relates to jobs. She said that with the current crisis that this country is experiencing with economy, the County needs to be thinking very seriously about how Union County is going to bring quality employment to Union County and particularly to western Union County. Ms. Gardner stressed that the Board should be sure that the Water Allocation Plan provides accommodation for potential future projects that will contribute to a quality employment base.

George Hendry identified himself and stated that he lives at 201 Belvedere Lane, Waxhaw, NC. Mr. Hendry stated that he was of the opinion that Union County was just about out of water. He said that Union County has about 40,000 water customers, give or take a thousand or so, and there is somewhere between 10,000 and 20,000 platted parcels that would add 25 to 50 percent to that number. He said his contention is that Union County does not really have water for that. Mr. Hendry said he might be a selfish, old man, but he has spent many hours and probably spent over \$20,000 installing irrigation systems for water, fertilizer, plants, shrubs, trees, flowers, etc. over the last eight years to make and keep his property attractive. He said he did not want to give that effort and resources away because someone else needs a paycheck to build more homes in Union County and in turn make the current water shortage even worse. He said clearly the County needs some kind of an APFO for water and perhaps sewer as well and from what he read, the policy isn't going to hack it. Mr. Hendry showed a picture that he had drawn, which he called the continental divide of Union County. He noted that one side had the Catawba River Basin area and the larger area, about 3/5 the land mass of Union County, is the Yadkin-Pee Dee Basin. He said in looking at the voter registration polls that he came up with the fact that about 43 percent of the population lives in the Catawba River Basin and 57 percent of the

population lives in the Yadkin-Pee Dee Basin plus much of the expected growth in the next five or ten years will occur in Stallings, Indian Trail, Fairview, Unionville and that is all in the Yadkin Pee Dee Basin. Mr. Hendry said that at this time, Union County gets 90 percent of its water out of the Catawba and 10 percent out of the Yadkin Pee-Dee Basin. He stressed that he thought the County needs to look at getting more water out of the Yadkin Pee Dee. He said he knew there was a plan for another nine million from the Lancaster facility but he would like to see the County move forward with getting more water from Anson or Stanly counties.

Chairman Baucom stated that in response to Mr. Hendry's comments that he would report that a little over a year ago, there was a meeting with Anson County regarding water and since that time, there have been a half a dozen meetings with Anson about water with the most recent meeting being this last Thursday and, quite honestly, it was about acquiring additional water is in two stages. The first stage is nearby and the second is long term. He said nearby the County has 1.9 million gallons that Union County has contracted with Anson County to buy and Union County is working to utilize the total 1.9 million gallons per day and, in addition, trying to determine how much volume that level can be increased by. Chairman Baucom said it is anticipated that Anson will be responsive to allow Union County to buy in the realm of six million gallons per day total as soon as the infrastructure can be put in place. He said in the long term that is open-ended at this time. He said that he has also initiated regional meetings with Anson, Stanly, Cabarrus, CMUD, Lancaster, Chesterfield Counties in addition the City of Albemarle, City of Monroe, Concord-Kannapolis are in attendance. He explained that there have been three meetings and agendas have been set for the next year on a collaborative effort primarily for long-term water availability. He stressed this long-term collaborative effort is long, past due. The Chairman noted that one of the problems being encountered is the interbasin transfer and getting everything into its proper prospective. In response to another statement by Mr. Hendry, it was again noted that Interbasin transfer regulation is a problem.

Mr. Werner Thommiser gave his address as 2008 Kings Manor Drive, Weddington, NC. He acknowledged that Chairman Baucom and Vice Chairman Pressley had worked hard to get water from Anson County but asked where the water and sewer plan is at this time. He said he reads in the newspapers that certain things will happen within three to five years. He said those are intentions. Mr. Thommiser said that he retired from a Fortune 500 company and a plan was a certain thing would be accomplished at a certain date. He said he does not read that in the newspapers. He said he is not against allocation for fire departments, governments, hospitals, and industries. Mr. Thommiser pointed out that Union County has an 80-20 split on its tax revenue and the majority is residential; he said industrial must be pushed up. He said that a year ago Mr. Dudley Wass stood in front of the Board and said "do not issue any more residential permits." He noted that less than six months ago, Commissioner Openshaw tried to do the same thing. He stressed that what he is talking about is fairness; it is morally wrong to give the water to people who do not even live in Union County now and who will buy future homes. Mr. Thommiser said that the Board should first take care of the residents who live here now. He noted that some may be concerned that Union County must deliver on its commitments already made to developers—there might be possible law suits. He read North Carolina General Statute 153A-283: "Non

liability for failure to furnish water or sewer services. In no case may a county be held liable for damages for failure to furnish water or sewer services.” He pointed out that lawsuits are not an issue. Mr. Thommiser said the way he understood Catawba River is that currently Union County gets 18 million gallons per day for 37,000 customers and Lancaster County gives Union County an additional five million gallons per day because it is not using it at this time, bringing Union County to 23 million gallons per day. He emphasized that Lancaster County could take that five million gallons away at any time. He noted there is an expansion being planned for an additional nine million gallons per day, which brings Union County to 32 million gallons per day. He said that with a 50 percent build out that would give Union County another 11.5 million and if that is added to the 23 million that Union County now has, Union County is out of water. He rhetorically asked if that is good for developers or builders and answered his own question with the words “absolutely not.” He stated it is the American dream to buy a house and have landscaping with a green lawn. Mr. Thommiser said that Union County’s citizens will not be able to do that and that will hurt the developers and the builders. He said that people would not move to Union County and others in Union County might consider moving back to Mecklenburg County. He stressed it is morally wrong to give the water to people who do not live in Union County and asked Commissioners to take care of people who live in Union County.

Janice Propst stated that she lives at 531 Weddington Road, Weddington, NC. She said she is speaking out of concern for the County’s water, the most precious resource that anyone has, and future allocations of the water. She said that the North Carolina General Statute plainly states that “in no case may a County be held liable for damages for failure to furnish water or sewer services.” She said that within the last several weeks everyone has witnessed on a national level what irresponsible government and greed can do to the economy and the country’s infrastructure. She stated that Union County lacks infrastructure on many fronts specifically water and sewer. She stressed that to allocate out water that the County does not have would be irresponsible. She said that any additional future water allocation should be to support only commercial growth and additional jobs to the County. Mrs. Propst explained that as citizens of this County, they are asking for responsible government. She said that personally she is asking the Union County Board of Commissioners to build the correct infrastructure for this county; build an additional sewer treatment plant, work with the interbasin transfers to bring the water to the area, and then the County could once again begin to grow.

Lawrence Amedreo gave his address as 2808 Tuscarora Lane, Waxhaw, NC. He said he moved to Union County about three years ago and that last week he traveled through an area in Wesley Chapel where a tremendous community is being built. He said that as an experiment that he quit watering his lawn and used 10,800 gallons, a family of five, with a small yard. Mr. Amedreo said he thought the one day a week watering is fine, but if there is less than that homeowners’ associations will be hurting trying to figure out how they can keep the developments looking good. He also suggested that water trucks filling up on hydrants be stopped; this water is being obtained for free. He said he talked with one of the drivers and learned that there are no meters and some do not have permits. Mr. Amedreo said that if he has to

sacrifice his lawn and pay a larger rate for water over 10,000 gallons that something should be done against the water trucks. He explained that he knew one of the drivers and this driver is hauling Union County water to water a lawn in South Carolina.

Dick Robertson stated that he has reviewed the water allocation draft that was revised last month. He said he noted that Union County purchases its water from Catawba River and from Anson County. He noted that he had read one set of figures in the report and heard another set of figures from Chairman Baucom when he made his announcements. Mr. Robertson said that Union County shares with Lancaster County 36 million gallons of water per day and contracted to purchase four million gallons per day from Anson County. He explained that he understood that in June there were seven days that Union County exceeded its capacity from Catawba River by one and half million gallons. He asked why did Union County take one and half million from Lancaster County's water and not from the two million that Union County is purchasing from Anson County. He said he understood Catawba River and Anson County doesn't co-mingle but questioned if there is not some way that could be accomplished in the future. Secondly, he asked what will the additional cost be to get the additional two million gallons of water from Anson County.

The County Manager explained that Union County can only get 1.9 million gallons in peak from Anson County because that is all the infrastructure will allow. He further stated that there is a design underway to improve the infrastructure to bring in the additional two million gallons per day and, hopefully, more—possibly six million gallons or more a day. He answered that the estimated cost of bringing in four million gallons per day is about \$10.7 million. He said that if Union County increases the size of the line to bring in six or seven million, it will be a little more but that is being worked on now.

Commissioner Openshaw answered that the reason that the water cannot be brought across the county is because of the interbasin transfer, which is moving the water from one river basin to another river basin. He reported that currently five million gallons from the Catawba River Basin are being transferred to the Yadkin-Peed Dee River Basin, but that amount is grandfathered.

The Chairman responded that the 1.9 million gallons per day is being used and the challenge is for Union County to get as much water as it can from Anson County while it is putting in this infrastructure so the additional cost will be minimal.

Hunter Latham of 6009 Bickett Ridge Drive, Unionville, NC, stated that he has a business there – Latham Nursery, Inc. He said they had been in operation since 1963 and Union and Mecklenburg Counties have been good to his business. Mr. Latham said that more recently when there have been the water restrictions and drought, their business has been impacted. He asked that the Board consider their business while it is making its decision. Mr. Latham said that he appreciates the Board wanting to conserve so that everyone can have water because that is the most important thing that everyone can have. He also noted that business is important. Mr. Latham explained that lawn irrigation

does take a lot of water and lots of people when they move into a neighborhood and have an irrigation system think it is a new toy; they think that because they have an irrigation system that they will water 30 minutes each zone, seven days a week and their grass will be greener. He stated that is not the case and education is essential for management of water resources. He explained these people do not need to water their lawns 30 minutes per cycle because their neighbor does it; they need to know that it only needs to be watered “X” amount of times a day and one to two times a week is plenty. He reported that hand watering of shrubs and drip irrigation system is a good amount. He also noted that the new technology earlier mentioned tonight would be a good way to go to addressing the water usage.

The Chairman next called Steve Engle. Mr. Engle stated that he lives at 1123 Clarence Secrest Road, Monroe, NC. He said that he has been in the landscape business for over 20 years and believes that when Union County does the allocation that it should consider the landscapers more so that they can operate their businesses. He said the total landscaping businesses in North Carolina is an \$8.5 billion industry. Mr. Engle pointed out that the landscapers cannot go three or four years without having water with which to operate their businesses. He said before Union County starts allowing a lot of building, it should take care of the citizens it has. He asked the Board to consider this business segment when making allocations.

Mark DiBiasio, 4708 Tom’s Creek Court, Wesley Chapel, stated that there is an old saying that people who do not learn from history are bound to repeat the same mistakes and that it appears to him that if Union County approves the policy, it is going down the same path that it has been down once before. He said he is talking about the sewer policy when Union County gave sewer permits to new developments based on future availability. He interjected that he appreciated this board holding a public hearing on this subject. The County had plans for new sewer plants and Union County granted permits without any allocation or without any knowledge of what was being permitted; this came home to roost last year, Mr. DiBiasio stated. He said now there are between 8,000 and 15,000 homes allocated with what is left of the sewer capacity of Twelve-Mile Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. He said that proved to be a poor plan because basically this stopped a lot of what could be happening and what might be happening in the future because Union County does not have it.

He said it appeared to him that Union County would be doing the same thing if it approved the Water Allocation Policy. He pointed out that Union County would couple that with who it gave sewer to and then basically allocate it. Mr. DiBiasio said this struck him two ways—if Union County allows 2.9 million gallons of water to be allocated to new projects of any type then the County is already exceeding its capacity. He pointed out that it has already been said tonight that Union County does not have a concrete answer for replacement of that water—there could be something down the line, but not at this moment.

He said the other thing he wanted to talk about, and another way to look at it, is that it is like an eminent domain situation where the government comes in and takes a person’s property and sells it to a business concern so the business can do something for a community. He

said basically the action of the Board would be to take the water away from everyone who is currently a customer – 37,000 people – and the County would be giving it to new customers. Mr. DiBiasio said it is not even necessary to think about the developers who are in between in the process—the Board is giving the water to new customers. These new customers are coming in to Union County without knowing that as soon as they sign their name on the mortgage contract that they are going to have no water with which to water their grass or shrubs. He compared the water monopoly in Union County with a power company who would tell all their customers that they could only have one light bulb so it could sell more light bulbs to people still coming into the County. He asked the Board to reconsider the idea of adopting this plan as it is written.

The Chairman next called Paul Weslake who had registered to speak. Mr. Weslake stated that he lives at 3201 Thayer Drive in Waxhaw. He thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak and said there had been great comments from the audience. He stated that he might repeat many of the comments previously made. First, he thanked the Board for approving the odor control facility for Twelve Mile Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility. He stated the most recent recommendation from the consulting firm does not favor the citizens of the county who currently pay taxes and pay water bills; however, the consultants spent much of their time trying to appease the developers and squeeze growth into a system that is already burdened. He stated he wanted to present a few issues that need to be resolved before this policy is useful. He pointed out that the Board of Commissioners holds in its hands the voting power and the ability to affect the citizens' values of property in this county. Mr. Weslake said although many citizens are willing to do their part during the drought situation, the citizens should not be mandated to make sacrifice and put in jeopardy what many people consider their single-largest investment—their homes. He asked if houses connected to the restrictive system would be in competition with houses that have wells. He further asked if the area will be as desirable if Union County ends up with very strict water restrictions. Mr. Weslake stated that after spending many hours researching the situation that it is apparent to him that the citizens of Union County have been misled over the recent years relative to the County's water and sewer capacity. He said as a taxpayer in the County, who owns a house and land, he is concerned that the County has used the drought conditions to cover over the County's internal capacity issues. He commented that now that it is clear that the drought is not the issue, it is time that the Commissioners create solutions and prevent future problems. He noted that in the draft of the policy for water allocation and the presentation made on September 22nd, it was mentioned many times that Union County is risking more water restrictions because of the County's desire to grow. He asked the Board if it is willing to gamble the County's water resource away. He said he thought many would say "no" after reading through the mounds of documentation; hence, this is his challenge: how about building water storage facilities that help out in the peak days and the County could stock pile more water on other days. He also asked about building codes that require new buildings to conserve water. He stressed that he was not an advocate of "no growth" but this situation must take time to understand where the County is going. He also pointed out the two issues must be separated—drought restrictions and the proposed policy. Mr. Weslake said that Union County is a beautiful county and many people are attracted to the lifestyle that has been created; let's not jeopardize this. He asked where is the firm plan that gets Union County from point A to point B and takes care of the

citizens and businesses that are here in Union County today. He encouraged the Board to reject the proposed policy and not to put the taxpayers in a more desperate situation. Mr. Weslake asked the Board to remember that the drought situation and water rationing must be separate issues. He said that he thought there are many errors on page 7 as to the numbers that were presented and the graph relative to peak days. Again, he urged the Board to reconsider the policy and not make the mistakes of the past and punish the people today with water restrictions and higher rates.

Chairman Baucom stated with there being no other comments, the public hearing was adjourned.

INFORMAL COMMENTS:

The Chairman stated the next item is informal comments and explained the same three-minute criteria is followed during this segment as was followed in the public hearing. He noted the exception is that speakers may not discuss matters that are the subject of public hearings. He pointed out there are a couple of persons who spoke during the public hearing who have also registered to speak during this segment, and explained that although they are welcome to speak, he would ask them to not speak on the water allocation policy.

He recognized Virginia Bjorlin. Mr. Bjorlin stated that she lives at 1220 Rosa Drive, Monroe. She thanked the Board on behalf of the Historic Preservation Commission for its on-going support, its moral support, and its financial support. Mrs. Bjorlin said the Commission is proud of the County's lovely, old courthouse and expressed appreciation to them for financial resources to keep it and the grounds in such good shape. She thanked Berry Wyatt, General Services Director, who is diligent and patient with assisting to keep the historic aspect of the Courthouse. She said that the Historic Preservation Commission and others are very excited at the prospect of having a Union County Museum in the historic courthouse. She pledged to do all she, the Commission, and other volunteers can do to bring it to fruition in the near future.

The Chairman thanked Mrs. Bjorlin for all that the Historic Preservation Commission does.

He next recognized Werner Thomisser of 2008 King's Manor Drive, Weddington. Mr. Thomisser stated that he received during the weekend his copy of *The County Edge*, a local newspaper. He said that on the front page it read, *County Northern Sewer Plant or Subdivision*. Mr. Thomisser said that he had experience with private sewer plants after having sat through 30 hours of public hearings recently in Weddington. He said he learned that apparently there is a gentleman in the Fairview area who has purchased approximately 353 acres and has intentions to build 160 homes on that site. However, he also wants to build a private sewer plant. Mr. Thomisser said he did not know how many people are aware that a subdivision of 160 homes or 200 homes as proposed in Weddington cannot produce enough

water to water the lawns; so he asked where is the water coming from. He gave scenarios of the water being furnished by the county, which he didn't think the county had a sufficient amount, or a well being drilled. Mr. Thomisser said he thought there was only a given amount of water under the ground and questioned what impact would the well have on surrounding neighbors who already have wells. He said he understood that 40 percent of the residents in Union County are on wells for their source of water. He also noted that he would say to Fairview's Mayor Richard Williams to be careful for what he wishes. Mr. Thomisser said that private, wastewater treatment plants, even the one with the most sophisticated and highest technology can only filter .2 microns; all viruses can come through .2 microns. Mr. Thomisser said that Union County has experience with private, wastewater treatment plants and believes that it shut down the Fairfield Plantation Wastewater Treatment Plant and took over the operation of that plant. He cautioned that the answer for development is not private wastewater treatment plant.

Mark DiBiasio was the next speaker recognized and gave his address as 4708 Tom's Creek Court, Wesley Chapel, and thanked the Board for allowing him to speak on the CMC Contract that was discussed at the last meeting. He stated that at that time the Board authorized hiring a legal consultant to review the contract and then hired a property consultant to assess the value of the hospital property. He said that he was glad for that action but had a few questions. He referenced a comment of the County Manager who said to the press that the Board was doing this because there was no competition and for that reason the County had to find some way to assess the actual values in lieu of their being a competitive process. He questioned why Union County could not have a competitive process. Mr. DiBiasio said there is a lot of uncertainties in the economic world today, and he did not think that Union County needed to lock itself up for 40 years when there is no way of knowing what is going to happen. He suggested that the Board should look at this, have the attorneys to come back and assess it, and give the County's staff and Commissioners some idea but the Board should also consider that this might not be the right time to dive into the contract that will keep the County in one place for 40 years. He said he would hope the Board would accept this information and have a baseline to start with, and twelve years from now the County could ask other interested parties to the table and make sure that it is doing the best for the County and obtaining the best amount of money for the facilities that the County has to offer.

With there being no other comments, the Chairman moved to the next item.

ADDITIONS, DELETIONS AND/OR ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA:

The Chairman recognized the County Manager who requested that Item 8.4 on the Consent Agenda "Consideration of Funding for A&E for Sun Valley High School" be moved from the Consent Agenda and added to the Regular Agenda for brief discussion. With there being no other changes, Vice Chairman Pressley moved that the agenda as amended be approved. The motion passed unanimously. The Chairman stated the consent agenda item 8.4 would become Item 6A on the regular agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA:

Motion was made by Commissioner Lane that the items as included on the Consent Agenda be approved. The motion passed unanimously.

Minutes: March 10, 2008, June 2, 2008, and September 22, 2008

Tax Administrator: Monthly Departmental Report for August 2008

Tax Administrator: Releases for September 2008 in the Grand Total Amount of \$505,809.99

RELEASES SEPTEMBER 2008

Acct #	Name	Release #	Total
2009			
06213027	KILGORE CHARLES E & WF ELSIE E	4278	55.00
Totals			55.00
2008			
04279015	UNION COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION	4083	1,298.41
06177008A	UNION COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION	4084	102,709.75
06159010A	UNION COUNTY NORTH CAROLINA	4085	73,497.04
09194149	CITY OF MONROE	4086	22.28

09402159A8	CITY OF MONROE	4087	20,004.86
0			
09402159A9	CITY OF MONROE	4088	20,008.88
0			
09114002A	CITY OF MONROE	4089	152.09
08084006	BROOKS ROBERT STOWE	4090	1,229.74
02251007	PARKER VANN P & WIFE WILMA L	4101	70.96
05066065	TULL RHESA READ JR	4102	581.83
06063180	GAYE THOMAS E & WIFE LINDA J	4013	652.84
09256107	BLAIR HOUSTON V & WIFE FRANCES W	4104	277.17
05033039	MCCLENDON LORIETTA	4105	153.45
06207460	MOORE RONALD & BRADLEY MOORE	4106	6,711.71
05078006C	HINSON MARY ELIZABETH	4107	13.78
05078006B	HINSON MARY ELIZABETH	4109	13.31
06045193	BONTERRA BUILDERS LLC	4111	4,909.95
02030025	ASHCRAFT EMOGENE E	4112	33.25
07027008A	ASHLEY CLAUDE O & FLORA G & ANTHONY L	4113	7.24
04288013F	BELK VICKIE CARPENTER	4114	33.25
08138009	BRASWELL JAMES REECE	4115	12.27
09402060	CASON RAYMOND EUGENE & WF NORMA	4116	34.07
03159036	COLEY JAMES A	4117	33.25
05042014A	DAY GEORGE M & WF LOUISE P	4118	22.84
05063060	DEMAREST MARIE S	4119	34.49
02148004D	DYE PATRICIA JANE	4121	32.02
09155001	SUTTON TIMBER FARM LLC	4122	5,379.98
02321046	FAULKNER ALICE	4123	30.92
09390005C	HELMS FRANCES B	4124	34.78
09210007	HOLDER CORA MAE WHITLEY	4125	33.25
03147002B	JOHNSON JULIE BAUCOM	4126	33.25

08312082	LEDFOORD RUBY P	4127	10.91
05186012A	MOORE DORIS HARMON	4128	34.49
09303023	PINYAN JAMES P & DOROTHY	4129	13.44
01042010B	PONDS ROY L	4130	33.25
08312110	PRESSLEY GERLENA	4131	25.74
09357015A	SINCLAIR CHERRY L	4132	34.78
07081009F	MORRIS WILLENE R	4133	35.28
01084012A	CANNON HENRY PIERSON & WIFE LINDA MILLS	4134	152.28
01060013	MILLS LETHA B	4135	145.23
01027005	HINSON JAMES SIDNEY & KAREN CHRISTINE	4136	281.90
09387004B	CODY HELMS CONSTRUCTION INC	4137	613.60
04198004L	TEFF MICHAEL R	4138	145.17
04180011	BURGESS FRANKLIN C	4139	14.70
01168006	MORGAN HENRY EUGENE JR	4140	100.75
050030001A	BROOKLANDWOOD LLC	4141	7,790.30
05003001C	PRICE W JAMES & MARJORIE B PRICE	4142	1,760.02
04261002	COOK BILLY WAYMON SR TRUSTEE	4143	205.28
05008001	BROOME WAYNE W	4144	4,650.57
05014015C	HAILEY HOLDINGS LLC	4145	970.57
05015005	ROLLINS JUNE R TRUSTEE	4146	935.99
05015011	MOORE RANDAL GLENN	4147	211.27
05066009	ADAMS THOMAS E & CATHERINE	4148	317.93
P5036016	JACKSON ALEX H SR & SYLVIA M JACKSON TRUSTEE	4149	336.83
02199018	BLASIVS RONALD R & WIFE ALICE J	4150	63.24
07129084	HORNBACK RANDY EARL	4151	23.14
07144156	NEWTON MARGARET H	4152	35.28
04210011C	PENEGAR DAVID MATTHEW	4153	208.41
04285009C	COOK BILLY WAYMON SR TRUSTEE	4154	39.36

04132027	NEULICHT LEE R & WIFE DEBORAH H FUNDERBURK	4155	148.63
09279081	WINCHESTER JAMES CYRUS & H FLOWERS	4156	45.88
09321413	NEWMAN FRED & REVECCA	4157	49.41
09279078	SCARPANTONI HELEN E	4158	298.12
09279070	CROWDER CORNELIA	4159	345.73
09273013	PRICE NANCY C	4160	517.23
09267086	YAZEJEAN MATTHEW M	4161	56.53
09159002	GRIFFIN BOBBY HAROLD & NORMA	4162	308.16
09146001	PROSPERITY CREEK LLC	4163	538.25
08135009K	WILLIAMS KELLAND W & JOYCE C	4164	252.44
08081012B	HUNEYCUTT VICKIE DIANE NEWSOM	4165	47.68
06138031	WEAVER RICHARD EARL & ELLEN K	4166	310.41
06111050	GROOME BRIAN SCOTT & MARY HILDA	4167	223.76
09402037	HOFMAN HENDRICK	4168	29.82
06099127	GONZALES THOMAS A & WIFE	4169	33.94
05104046A	MELKONYAN RAFAEL & RENA MELKONYAN	4170	270.19
05104044	RILEY ALAN HERMAN & BRENDA KAY	4171	95.03
05015001B	SAIN DEBBIE RAPE & LINDSEY & STACY	4172	451.33
04087014	MANGUM THOMAS WILLIAM	4173	199.96
03171011	EDWARDS ROBERT J & CAMILLE	4174	34.65
04132030	NEULICHT LEE R & WIFE DEBORAH H FUNDERBURK	4175	147.43
01237007A0 2	GRIFFIN JANET DEAN	4176	14.89
04132029	NEULICHT LEE R & WIFE DEBORAH H FUNDERBURK	4177	147.43
081868016	MEDLIN HOYLE DWIGHT & TRAVIS M	4178	288.61

093900005	JACKSON THOMAS E JR	4179	240.33
09417002E	BENSON CORNELIUS & HELEN	4180	535.89
02105012C	LITTLE TROY ELI & PATSY	4181	335.49
04318014A	STARNES PATRICIA R	4182	61.91
06204005D	HUTSON DANIEL H & WF ROBERTA A	4183	685.88
04306014A	FUTURE HOMEOWNERS INC	4184	51.76
04350008	COUICK EDWIN S & WIFE CAROLYN H	4185	55.19
07069118A	SOUTH CENTRAL OIL CO #999	4186	2,139.64
05084001	WAXHAW COMMERCE LLC	4187	748.85
07069034	MONROE INVESTORS LLC	4188	22,101.74
05113050	AUSTIN ROBERT TILLMAN	4189	359.32
06204001	BIRCH BROTHERS SOUTHERN INC	4190	951.86
06048007A	VILLAGE COMMONS OUTPARCEL LL		1,092.93
07084590 11	MT EXECUTIVE BUSINESS PARK LLC	4192	305.17
07084590 12	MT EXECUTIVE BUSINESS PARK LLC	4193	305.17
07084590 13	MT EXECUTIVE BUSINESS PARK LLC	4194	305.17
09429003	PARKDALE AMERICA LLC	4195	12,482.12
07129308A	H & J PROPERTIES OF UNION COUNTY LLC	4196	479.03
07129308E	GUION FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	4197	2,182.56
07129308	GUION FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	4198	514.68
07129308D	H & J PROPERTIES OF UNION COUNTY LLC	4199	470.21
07129308C	GUION FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	4200	225.44
07129307	GUION FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	4201	698.55
07129307B	K & D PROPERTIES INC	4202	1,490.23
07129307A	OUTEN DAVID P & WIFE GINGER R	4203	803.11
07129303A	PETERSN MICHAEL WAYNE	4204	2,756.07
07129303	PARKER MICHAEL S	4205	765.87
07129306A	H & J PROPERTIES OF UNION COUNTY LLC	4206	458.64

07129306B	FREEMAN RONNIE R & WF KATRINA N	4207	458.64
08213057	JORDAN WILLIAM E	4208	274.51
04279036F	MCCAIN JOEL A & WIFE LAURIE	4210	63.51
06084009	BAKER GEORGE ETALS	4211	567.01
06084041	BARNETT SHELBY H HEIRS	4212	254.38
08300031A	SAIN PATRICIA ROBINSON	4213	174.30
07129304	PRESSLEY RAY FRANKLIN & MILDRED	4214	363.38
06048003	KERNS RUTH H	4215	11,680.66
09244002	DICKERSON NORVIN K III & ANN D WHITEHURST	4216	546.10
09342137B	MARTIN PATRICIA W	4217	511.52
09357028F	HINSON MICHAEL SHERMAN	4218	384.68
09216066	HAWFIELD FRANCES C	4219	328.81
06153314	MISTY MEADOWS LLC	4220	18,468.24
01069001A	HELMS DONNA S & HUSBAND TONY MIKE HELMS	4221	212.93
04099011C	LONG DAVID L & WIFE BRIDGA B	4222	126.48
04279017C	BROOME JAMES EDWIN & WIFE ANNIE B	4223	155.81
09256060	DEIBERT JULIUS D & WIFE THERESA JEAN	4224	114.32
05072031	ROBINSON ROBERT F & WIFE JOYCE R	4225	13.63
09316001	HOWARD EMMA JEAN	4226	790.16
09316002D	HOWARD EMMA P	4227	763.95
09316012	PENEGAR MARK H & WIFE VICKIE DERMID	4228	474.47
09336442	FRANKLIN ERIC J & ANGELA D	4229	85.85
09345005	PRESSLEY WAYNE A	4230	1,563.82
08105007	ELLIS W & B FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	4231	1,504.96
05006019A	BROOK JAMES H & WIFE ZENA BROOK	4232	3,481.47

09348003	BOYCE DORA W	4233	19.09
09351013	HARTSELL ELIZABETH CLAY	4234	577.34
09372024	MARSH JOHNNY DALE & WIFE ZETTIE T	4235	605.28
09298073C	PARKER ALTON LEE	4236	33.12
09357012D	BRAUTIGAM NORMAN D & PATRICIA INEZ	4237	192.98
07021257	MCNEILL ROBERT GLENN III & JENNIFER H	4238	193.29
08234026	SMITH BILLY JOE & BECKY F	4239	36.71
08261020	FURR D L & WF PAULINE M	4240	76.54
08303013A	PRESLAR LEROY L & WF DORIS S	4241	144.97
09256001A	FIELDEN JOAN SECREST	4242	674.24
09256001C	FIELDEN JOAN	4243	106.13
07084345I	WASH ME! LLC	4244	1,461.58
09213008A	601 CENTER LLC	4245	846.87
06180219	PROVIDENCE DOWNS SUBDIVISION HOMEOWNERS	4246	3,561.28
09414013	WOLFE JAMES HOWARD	4247	572.20
09417002L	ENGLISH JUDY B	4248	225.23
05015006	HAWKS JAMES MAXIE & ADELE R	4249	886.05
04222012D	MCGUIRT DANIEL M & AUTUMN M	4250	78.39
04276015	LITTLE WILLIAM SHANNON	4251	992.35
04279014	BROOME JAMES EDWIN & WF	4252	331.73
04279035	HAIGH DONALD D & WIFE BESS J	4253	361.50
04279036D	PRICE JAMES C & WF RITA G	4254	417.01
05303010A	STONEBRIDGE DOSTER SOUTH ONE LLC	4255	673.90
04303010B	STONEBRIDGE DOSTER SOUTH ONE LLC	4256	606.07
04306012A	RICHARDSON JAMES A	4257	261.96
04306022	BROOME JAMES EDWIN & WIFE ANNIE	4258	666.88

B			
04309015C	PONDER BILLY JOE & LORETTA H	4259	256.46
06039010	PENEGAR WARE HIPPS JR & WF	4260	467.73
	CAROLLE JEAN H		
09357037	BURNS SARAH ASHCRAFT & BARBARA	4261	59.54
	JEAN & ETAL		
09357039A	SHIDAL REBA G	4262	903.58
04201009	BROOM LOYD & DORIS GRIFFIN	4263	715.47
07114529	WADSWORTH HOMEOWNERS	4264	47.06
	ASSOCIATION INC		
07114528	WADSWORTH HOMEOWNERS	4265	161.23
	ASSOCIATION INC		
08141004	CLONTZ GERALD L & WIFE AMY B	4266	1,454.09
06111007	LUKEOLIVIA LLC	4267	3,057.61
06111009A	LUKEOLIVIA LLC	4268	4,472.12
06210068	BATES SIMON M	4269	10.00
06141012	TYSON L CARLTON	4270	3,050.02
K7048019C	MEDFORD VICTORIA A	4271	2,610.91
N7048019C	MEDFORD VICTORIA A	4272	4,171.48
07114418A	COLTON RIDGE OF INDIAN TRAIL HOA	4273	2,300.18
07117502	COLTON RIDGE OF INDIAN TRAIL HOA	4274	2,071.07
06201456	HUNTER OAKS COMMUNITY	4275	443.98
	ASSOCIATION INC		
06201576	HUNTER OAKS COMMUNITY	4276	443.98
	ASSOCIATION INC		
06108562	LAWSON COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	4277	1,054.57
	INC		
09357028E	HINSON ANDREW GAD	4279	557.51
06057003D	SELLECK MARK A & WIFE SUE LYNN	4280	285.82
07120126	BRANDON OAKS COMMUNITY	4281	3.92
	ASSOCIATION INC		

05011013	HONEYCUTT DAVID	4282	206.59
07114083A0	BAYNE LINDA H % KENNETH THREATT	4283	298.61
2			
08261009	FURR D L & WF PAULINE M	4284	203.49
07150189	SCHAPHORST WILLIAM B & KAREN E	4285	771.86
06228086	WATKINS DOUG & MARY KATHRYN	4286	843.84
06204180	HOLLIFIELD KIRK E & HELEN E	4287	476.60
06186051	ARMSTRONG TROY C & WIFE BARBARA J	4288	74.43
06180280	STROME JAMES L & CHERRI S STROME	4289	357.74
07096623	BROOK VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC	4290	291.93
07096003 80	BROOK VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC	4291	291.93
07096003 90	BROOK VALLEY COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION INC	4292	291.93
09336358	CARRILLO ALECIA	4293	57.19
09307023	LOVE WILLARD HOOVER & DORIS T	4294	662.28
09093005	CARRILLO MAURICIO & ALECIA	4295	63.84
06174148	FORGIONE WILLIAM J & SUSAN R	4296	1,530.53
06108476	PEREZ EDUARDO & CONSUELO	4297	166.25
06108008A	WILLIAMS HARRY H & WIFE JUDY F	4298	169.69
06030004	EASTHAMPTON HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC	4299	5.49
06081001A	ROBINSON RUSSELL CURTIS	4300	441.47
06078028A	PATTERSON KATHRYN N & MICHAEL PATTERSON	4301	786.64
06078028	PATTERSON KATHRYN N	4302	605.53
06078026	HUTCHENS JERRY LEE	4303	748.66
06054035	RACHED ALBERT	4304	199.22

05090027	PAPWORTH EDWIN C & WIFE REBEKAH A	4305	113.54
06102234	DWIGHT HUNTER HOMES INC	4306	224.93
08120031A	LEWIS PENNY B & ARTHUR L	4307	2,338.01
06081015C	HEARN FRANK DOUGLAS TRUSTEE	4308	8,025.68
03030010	JOHNSON MARSHA MILLS ETAL	4309	1,144.60
02009008F	AUSTIN CLARENCE CARL SR & WF NANCY MOORE A	4310	801.32
07150197	CITRANO CHARLES T & LAUREN M	4311	8.75
06180249	PATE TROY L & STEPHANIE	4312	256.54
06180221	TRIPP MICHAEL F & PATRICIA K	4313	378.92
08126058	PAYLESS LUMBER & BUILDING MATERIALS INC	4314	1,040.06
06180186	NOVA JAY M & SHARON J	4315	389.67
06180177	FARBMAN EDWIN & MARCIA	4316	235.80
06180153	LEE ROBERT EDWARD & WIFE DEBRA LEE	4317	806.08
06180145	DETGEN PATRICIA S	4318	692.11
07090747	BETHEL UNITED METHODIST CHURCH TRUSTEES	4319	1,901.90
09231205	YOUTH WITH A MISSION CHARLOTTE INC	4320	4,625.08
06156015J	BHATIA RAJU S & CYNTHIA E	4321	235.12
06126063	GARSHIDE KENNETH & WF JUDITH M	4322	33.94
06093058A	MITCHELL JOHN R & WIFE EVELYN J	4323	429.40
07069023	BYRUM KENNETH W & WF VIRGIE S	4324	415.70
09240011 80	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR & WIFE ALISON S	4325	36.64
01084007	MILLS LETHA B	4326	388.66
04335001	RUTLEDGE REALTY CO INC	4327	13,510.29
06093048	KISER DONNA J	4328	328.05

05013001	RUTLEDGE W C JR	4329	1,618.88
06108370	JEROME DENISE M & RACHEL M SAYDAK	4330	300.41
06156006J	LAMBETH JULIUS S & WIFE ZENAIDA C	4331	553.37
06159006A	RUSINKO STEPHEN M & WIFE BONNIE L	4332	639.51
06180363	EDMONDSON COMPANY INC (THE)	4333	264.34
01126012	WILLIAMS MAX LEON	4334	1,618.48
06180372	EDMONDSON COMPANY INC (THE)	4335	252.78
06186007	HAYWOOD NORA G	4336	2,047.62
06213028	DOMANSKI CHESTER J & WIFE KATHLEEN M	4337	169.57
09241069	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR & WIFE ALISON S	4338	92.77
09241068	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR & WIFE ALISON S	4339	92.30
09240021	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR & WIFE ALISON S	4340	80.80
06078006C	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR	4341	455.33
06051004A	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR & WIFE ALISON S	4342	114.35
09411010	HOWEY FRANKLIN W JR	4343	29.07
09408005	HOWEY FRANK JR	4344	145.31
05008001	BROOME WAYNE W	4345	4,379.99
02074011	HAMILTON W SHERRILL	4346	334.96
06222013A	STEVENS CHARLES A	4347	396.37
06222031	EARNHEART WILLIAM HOLT JR & WF KATHERINE	4348	388.16
06222384	CIRINCIONE RICHARD & GINA PADULA	4349	497.75
06225069	KORRY PAUL J & EARLDEAN C	4350	316.40
06240084	PADFIELD MARK R & WIFE LOUISE C	4351	485.24

06186019	MCCORKLE MARGIE L	4352	731.78
06240087	CANINGTON JOHN H & SPOUSE PAULA J	4353	116.64
08084002	BROOKS ROBERT STOWE & WF MARY ALICE D	4354	14,585.84
02251012C	MARTIN JEFFREY L	4355	349.14
02192008	STARNES ANN M	4358	483.79
04156008B	MORTON LEROY	4359	744.53
07063212	WILLIAMS CHARLOTTE V & HUSBAND	4360	800.14
01210003	GRIFFIN MARION C JR & DONALD R GRIFFIN	4361	2,958.85
09273077	MCMANUS RITCHIE	4362	371.67
07027412	METZGER MARK G & LISA M	4363	1,146.44
09240013	CATTIE MAUREEN L	4364	1,949.58
50089750	ORBISON FREDDIE DURELL	4371	50.99
			-
Totals			489,745.23

2007

03135034	SELLARS TIMOTHY & VICKIE	4091	404.46
08195021	TUCKER KATIE MULLIS	4094	2,251.27
05078006C	HINSON MARY ELIZABETH	4108	27.97
05078006B	HINSON MARY ELIZABETH	4110	37.57
02251012C	MARTIN JEFFREY L	4356	186.90
50096759	CAROLINA EARTHWORKS INVESTMENTS LLC	4367	396.99
50073280	MY DADDY RECORDS	4370	341.98
50090295	SCMI LEASING	4372	1,468.12
50096295	CAMDEN REALTY	4377	290.20
50088812	12 CHAIR BARBER SHOP	4378	98.87

50084601	FRED ASTAIRE DANCE STUDIO	4379	72.82
50072213	HYATT CHARLES M & VIRGINIA	4380	889.84
50001654	CHARLES M HYATT CONST CO INC	4381	291.06
50091084	MCCLAIN BRIAN STEVEN	4384	146.30
50076075	ARANT TOMMY NELSON & SUE B	4387	75.01
50068685	FRAZIER JAMES & WIFE DEMETRICA	4388	129.92
50067880	HARTLEY ALAN & CHRISTINE	4389	138.88
50079321	SCOTT MICHAEL L	4391	10.79
			-
			-
Totals			7,258.95

2006			
08195021	TUCKER KATIE MULLIS	4095	2,015.73
50073280	MY DADDY RECORDS	4368	266.28
50090295	SCMI LEASING	4373	1,179.33
50001654	CHARLES M HYATT CONST CO INC	4382	226.64
50091084	MCCLAIN BRIAN STEVEN	4385	130.62
50079321	SCOTT MICHAEL L	4392	10.71
			-
Totals			3,829.31

2005			
08195021	TUCKER KATIE MULLIS	4096	1,792.54
50073280	MY DADDY RECORDS BUSINESS NEVER STARTED		
50090295	SCMI LEASING	4374	1,007.30
50001654	CHARLES M HYATT CONST CO INC	4383	195.01
50091084	MCCLAIN BRIAN STEVEN	4386	126.34
			-

Totals				3,350.29
---------------	--	--	--	-----------------

2004				
50090295	SCMI LEASING		4375	843.47
				-

Totals				843.47
---------------	--	--	--	---------------

2003				
50090295	SCMI LEASING		4376	727.74
				-

Totals				727.74
---------------	--	--	--	---------------

GRAND TOTALS				505,809.99
---------------------	--	--	--	-------------------

Tax Administrator: Refunds for September 2008 in the Grand Total Amount of \$4,987.98

REFUNDS SEPTEMBER 2008

Acct #	Name	Release #	
2008			
08312049	DEWBERRY JACK E & BETTY R	4120	8.98
07129298	HOUSIADAS MARIA	4208	437.83
			-
Total - 2008			446.81

2007

07150387	FLUETT JAMES E & JEAN M	4092	1,267.41
07093383	SHILOH TRACE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC	4097	1,205.16
07039225	BENT CREEK DEVELOPERS LLC	4098	254.59
50094355	ROUSE JANET	4365	122.16
			-
Total 2007			2,849.32
2006			
09015039	TRICORP CONSTRUCTION INC	4093	554.13
07039225	BENT CREEK DEVELOPERS LLC	4099	232.26
02251012C	MARTIN JEFFREY L	4357	167.62
50094355	ROUSE JANET	4366	99.93
50088485	WAUKESHA ELECTRIC SYSTEMS INC	4393	329.37
			-
Total 2006			1,383.31
2005			
07039225	BENT CREEK DEVELOPERS LLC	4100	227.94
			-
Total 2005			227.94
2004			
50091084	MCCLAIN BRIAN STEVEN	4390	80.60
			-
Total 2004			80.60

GRAND TOTALS ALL YEARS

4,987.98

Department of Social Services: Budget Amendment #8 to appropriate federal funds in the amount of \$19,600 received from Work First-Functional Assessment TANF Block Grant to DSS – Operating Expenses

Department of Social Services: The Work First Biennial Plan for FY2009-2011

Health Department: Health Department Fee Setting Policy as adopted by the Board of Health in September and as recorded below:

POLICY FOR SETTING FEES BASED ON SERVICE COST

PROCEDURE:

In accordance with G. S. 130-A-39(g), which allows local health departments to implement fees for service rendered, the Union County Health Department, with the approval of the Union County Board of Health and the Union County Commissioners will implement specific fees for service reimbursement. Specific methods used in seeking reimbursement will be through third-party coverage including Medicaid, Medicare, private insurance, and individual patient pay. The agency will adhere to billing procedures, as specified by Program/State regulations in seeking reimbursement for services provided.

Program reviews and committee meetings comprised of all disciplines will meet, within the Health Department setting, as necessary to determine the cost of providing services and discuss the “setting of rates” for services provided. The following procedures define the method used for setting rates:

1. The “Medicaid Cost Analysis” provided by the Office of Medicaid Reimbursement will be utilized for cost comparisons of services provided by the Health Department. The Medicaid Cost Study is performed annually in all Health Departments. The actual costs of service provision are contained in the document and are shared with each County. The figures are then compared and averaged statewide. The average is used to determine the cost of particular services provided at the agency.
2. The “Office of Medicaid Reimbursement” issues their allowable reimbursement rates each year. The agency will utilize these baseline rates when comparing to offer third-party payers.

3. Medicare, surrounding community rates (ex: community physician rates, local labs, environmental health, hospital rates, etc.), plus a comparison of Health Department's located in our region will be assessed for fees and included for comparison when determining rates.
4. When calculating rates the agency will consider staff time, per diem rates, laboratory services and other associated costs of rendering the provisions of the service.

Once the above information has been reviewed and discussed with the Health Department staff, fees will be taken to the Board of Health and the Board of County Commissioners for their discussion and final approval. Once approval has been received, the appropriate fees are set and will be maintained in the Health Department, noted as approved "schedule of changes", Board approvals (Health and County Commissioners) will be reflected in the respective minutes.

Health Department – Fee Schedule Including Updates for Fiscal Year 2008-2009

<u>FEE</u>	<u>CPT</u>	<u>REQD</u>	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>MEDICAID</u>	<u>FEES</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	<u>FOR</u>	<u>FEES</u>
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
New Patient Preventive Health Visits - Child Health						
*	99381C	EP	Age under 1 year	\$ 90.00	\$ 110.00	\$ 110.00
*	99382C	EP	Age 1-4 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
*	99383C	EP	Age 5-11 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 164.00	\$ 164.00
*	99384C	EP	Age 12-17 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 184.00	\$ 184.00
*	99385C	EP	Age 18-21 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 183.00	\$ 183.00
*	99383	FP	Age 5-11 years	\$ 154.00	\$ 164.00	\$ 164.00
*	99384	FP	Age 12-17 years	\$ 169.00	\$ 184.00	\$ 184.00
*	99385	FP	Age 18-39 years	\$ 167.00	\$ 183.00	\$ 183.00

*	99386	FP	Age 40-64 years	\$ 199.00	\$ 214.00	\$ 214.00
*	99387	FP	Age >65 years	\$ 215.00	\$ 230.00	\$ 230.00
<u>New Patient Evaluation & Management Visits</u>						
*	99201		Office Visit Brief	\$ 62.10	\$ 70.00	\$ 70.00
*	99202		Office Visit Problem Focused	\$ 93.15	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
*	99203		Office Visit Expanded	\$ 132.48	\$ 138.00	\$ 138.00
*	99204		Office Visit Detailed	\$ 194.58	\$ 198.00	\$ 198.00
*	99205		Office Visit Comprehensive	\$ 244.26	\$ 246.00	\$ 246.00
<u>Established Patient Preventive Health Visits - Child Health</u>						
*	99391C	EP	Age under 1 year	\$ 90.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
*	99392C	EP	Age 1-4 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 115.00
*	99393C	EP	Age 5-11 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 136.00	\$ 136.00
*	99394C	EP	Age 12-17 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 161.00	\$ 161.00
*	99395C	EP	Age 18-21 years	\$ 90.00	\$ 157.00	\$ 157.00
<u>Established Patient Preventive Health Visits - Women's Health</u>						
*	99393		Age 5-11 years	\$ 126.00	\$ 136.00	\$ 136.00
*	99394		Age 12-17 years	\$ 146.00	\$ 161.00	\$ 161.00
*	99395		Age 18-39 years	\$ 142.00	\$ 157.00	\$ 157.00
<u>FEE</u>	<u>CPT</u>	<u>REQ'D</u>	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>MEDICAID</u>	<u>FEES</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	<u>FOR</u>	<u>FEES</u>
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	99396		Age 40-64 years	\$ 158.00	\$ 173.00	\$ 173.00
*	99397		Age 65 > years	\$ 175.00	\$ 195.00	\$ 195.00
<u>Established Patient Evaluation & Management Visits</u>						

*	99211		Office Visit Brief	\$ 34.16	\$ 43.00	\$ 43.00
*	99212		Office Visit Problem Focused	\$ 56.93	\$ 65.00	\$ 65.00
*	99213		Office Visit Expanded	\$ 78.66	\$ 86.00	\$ 86.00
*	99214		Office Visit Detailed	\$ 122.13	\$ 128.00	\$ 128.00
*	99215		Office Visit Comprehensive	\$ 182.16	\$ 186.00	\$ 186.00
Outpatient Consultation						
	99241		Outpatient/Consult, Minor	\$ 104.00	\$ 104.00	\$ 104.00
	99242		Outpatient/Consult, Moderate	\$ 163.00	\$ 163.00	\$ 163.00
	99243		Outpatient/Consult, Severe (40 min)	\$ 194.00	\$ 194.00	\$ 194.00
	99244		Outpatient/Consult, Severe (60 min)	\$ 239.00	\$ 239.00	\$ 239.00
	99245		Outpatient/Consult, Complex	\$ 308.00	\$ 308.00	\$ 308.00
	CASE9		CMEP Case Conference		\$ 18.75	\$ 18.75
Immunizations/ Injections						
	86580		Tuberculin Skin Test	\$ 7.14	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	90632		Hepatitis A Vaccine	\$ 45.81	\$ 60.00	\$ 60.00
	90656		Influenza (Preservative Free)	\$ 17.37	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
	90658		Influenza Vaccine (3 years and older)	\$ 13.22	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	90658MH		Influenza Vaccine (MH Patients)	\$ 13.22	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
	90649		Gardasil (HPV)	\$ 140.79	\$ 160.00	\$ 160.00
	90660		Flu Vaccine (Nasal)	\$ 22.03	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
	90660NC		Flu Vaccine (Nasal) Pres. Free	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
FEE	CPT	REQD	SERVICE DESCRIPTION	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
SLIDES	CODE	MOD.		RATE	FOR	FEES
					FY 07-08	FY 08-09
	90716		Varivax (Child Health)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

*	90716MH		Varivax	\$ 72.44	\$ 70.00	\$ 72.44
*	90718		Adult TD	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	90732		Pneumonia	\$ 27.03	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
	90733		Adult Meningitis	\$ 89.43	\$ 115.00	\$ 115.00
	90746		Hepatitis B Adult	\$ 57.26	\$ 70.00	\$ 70.00
	90772		Administration Fee-therap/prophy/diag. injection	\$ 18.35	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
	90471		Administer Single Vaccine (Adult)	\$ 17.25	\$ 10.00	\$ 27.42
	90472		Administer Single Dose/Each Additional	\$ 9.71	\$ -	\$ 14.15
	90471EP**		Administer Single Vaccine (Children)	\$ 17.25	\$ -	\$ 27.42
	90472EP**		Administer Two + Vaccines (Children)	\$ 9.71	\$ -	\$ 14.15
	90473EP**		Administer/Intranasal-Oral	\$ 11.67	\$ -	\$ 12.74
	90474EP**		Administer Single Vaccine/Each Additional	\$ 7.94	\$ -	\$ 11.27
	EP**		This is a modifier attached to administration code			
			for Medicaid billing for recipients less than 21 y/o.			
*	J0560		Bicillin 600 units	\$ 23.32	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	J0580		Bicillin 2.4 units	\$ 46.07	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00
*	J0570		Bicillin 1.2 units	\$ 40.75	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
*	J0696		Rocephin 250 mg	\$ 1.48	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	J1055		Depo Prevera	\$ 40.50	\$ 60.00	\$ 60.00
*	J1580		Gentamicin up to 80 mg	\$ 1.04	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
*	J2790		Rhogam	\$ 89.71	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
*	J7307		Etonogesterel (Implanon) 68 mg Implant	\$ 588.38	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00
*	J3490		17P Injection	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	S4993		Birth Control Pills	\$ 3.35	-	\$ 4.44
	<u>Procedures/ Supplies</u>					
*	10060		Incision/Drainage	\$ 86.16	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00

*	10061		Incision/Drainage - complicated or multiple	\$ 148.14	\$ 147.12	\$ 147.12
*	10160		Puncture/Drainage of lesion	\$ 99.36	\$ 97.88	\$ 99.36
*	11000		Surgical Cleansing of skin	\$ 42.49	\$ 41.61	\$ 42.49
*	11100		Biopsy of Skin	\$ 78.47	\$ 85.00	\$ 85.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	11200		Removal of skin tags, mult. up to and including 15	\$ 63.98	\$ 62.65	\$ 63.98
*	11201		Removal of skin tags, ea./10 (in addition to 11200)	\$ 15.21	\$ 15.13	\$ 15.21
*	11400		Removal of skin lesion, benign	\$ 94.02	\$ 94.50	\$ 94.50
*	11640		Removal of skin lesion, malignant	\$ 149.77	\$ 139.16	\$ 149.77
*	11750		Excision of Nail, Partial or Complete	\$ 166.87	\$ 155.00	\$ 166.87
*	11765		Wedge Excision of Skin (Ingrown Toe Nail)	\$ 99.10	\$ 95.00	\$ 99.10
*	11976		Norplant Removal	\$ 119.05	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00
*	11981		Insertion, Implanon	\$ 109.90	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
*	11982		Removal, Implanon	\$ 126.82	\$ 146.00	\$ 146.00
*	11983		Removal & reinsert of Implanon	\$ 192.71	\$ 211.00	\$ 211.00
*	12001		Repair Laceration 0-2.5cm	\$ 120.18	\$ 175.00	\$ 175.00
*	12002		Repair Laceration 2.6-7.5cm	\$ 128.07	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00
*	17000	Must Use	Destruction of One Lesion - "Wart"	\$ 60.33	\$ 65.00	\$ 65.00
*	17003	Together	Destruction of Two-14 Lesions - "Warts"	\$ 6.07	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	17250		Silver Nitrate to Cord	\$ 59.71	\$ 70.00	\$ 70.00
*	51701		Catherization, Bladder	\$ 60.58	\$ 75.00	\$ 75.00
*	54050		Destruction of lesion, penis (condyloma)	\$ 104.78	\$ 101.25	\$ 104.78
*	56405		I & D of abscess, vulva/perineal	\$ 92.31	\$ 93.84	\$ 93.84

*	56441		Lysis of labial adhesions	\$ 126.67	\$ 128.40	\$ 128.40
*	56820		Colposcopy of vulva	\$ 93.62	\$ 95.18	\$ 95.18
*	56821		Colposcopy of vulva w/biopsy	\$ 126.31	\$ 128.01	\$ 128.01
*	57000		Drainage of pelvic lesion	\$ 160.03	\$ 160.97	\$ 160.97
*	57170		Diagphragm Insertion	\$ 65.53	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00
*	57452		Colposcopy w/o Biopsy	\$ 92.96	\$ 130.00	\$ 130.00
*	57454		Colposcopy with Biopsy	\$ 131.34	\$ 170.00	\$ 170.00
*	57511		Cryosurgery	\$ 123.52	\$ 160.00	\$ 160.00
*	58300		Insert IUD	\$ 69.35	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
*	58301		IUD Removal	\$ 83.36	\$ 120.00	\$ 120.00
*	59025		NST	\$ 38.47	\$ 60.00	\$ 60.00
*	69200		Removal of Foreign Body,External Auditory Canal	\$ 101.79	\$ 115.00	\$ 115.00
*	69210		Cerumen Removal/Irrigation	\$ 40.72	\$ 65.00	\$ 65.00
*	65205		Removal of Foreign Body from Eye	\$ 43.52	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	65220		Removal of Foreign Body, External Eye	\$ 44.64	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00
*	76815		Limited Ultrasound	\$ 80.58	\$ 85.00	\$ 85.00
*	76830		Ultrasound of the Vagina	\$ 100.52	\$ 90.00	\$100.52
*	81025		Pregnancy Test	\$ 8.84	\$20.00	\$20.00
*	88305		Biopsy Lab Fee (PER SPECIMEN)	\$ 91.27	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
*	92551		Audiometry	\$ 8.58	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	90784		IV Start & Infusion	\$ 33.68	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
	PPDREAD		"Outside" PPD Test Read	\$ -	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00

<u>Special Clinic/Home Visiting Services</u>						
*	94640		Nebulizer Treatment	\$ 11.81	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00
*	96110		Developmental Test	\$ 10.13	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	99173		Vision	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
*	J7300		IUD PARA GARD	\$ 427.50	\$ 375.00	\$ 427.50
	J7300NC		IUD PARA GARD N/C	\$ 427.50	\$ -	\$ -
*	J7302		IUD Mirena	\$ 527.30	\$ 500.00	\$ 550.00
	97802		Medical Nutrition Therapy	\$ 24.72 unit	\$ -	\$ -
	97803		Medical Nutrition Therapy- Re-Assessment	\$ 21.85 unit	\$ -	\$ -
	96152		Intensive Psycho-social Counseling	\$ 19.97 unit	\$ -	\$ -
	99070		Supplies	\$ 10.48	\$15.00	\$15.00
	99501		Post-Partum Home Visit	\$ 60.00	\$ -	\$ -
	99502		Newborn Assessment Screening Home Visit	\$ 60.00	\$ -	\$ -
	T1001		Maternity Skilled Home Visit	\$ 88.00	\$ -	\$ -
	T1002		TB/STD RN Services	\$ 19.50 unit	\$ -	\$ -
	T1016		CSC Contact	\$ 21.74 unit	\$ -	\$ -
	T1017		MCC Initial/ Subsequent Contact	\$ 29.30 unit	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Dental Services/Child Health Clinic</u>						
<u>Initial Oral Screening</u>						
*	D0145CH		Oral Evaluation - 3 and under	\$ 38.07	\$ 35.00	\$ 38.07
*	D1206CH		Topical Application Flouride	\$ 15.44	\$ 15.44	\$ 18.52
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
<u>Dental Services/Dental Clinic</u>						

*	HSSCR		Head Start Screening	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
*	D0120		Periodic Oral Exam	\$ 27.01	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
*	D0140		Limited Oral Exam	\$ 35.77	\$ 45.00	\$ 40.00
*	D0150		Initial Oral Exam	\$ 45.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 45.00
*	D0170		Re-Evaluation Exam/Emergency Only	\$ 24.99	\$ 27.00	\$ 27.00
*	D0210		Intraoral Complete Films Series	\$ 75.19	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00
*	D0220		Intraoral - Periapical, 1st	\$ 14.60	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	D0230		Intraoral - Periapical, each additional	\$ 11.68	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	D0240		Occlusial Periapical	\$ 15.19	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	D0270		Bitewing - Single Film	\$ 10.78	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00
*	D0272		Bitewing - Two Film	\$ 17.52	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00
*	D0273		Bitewing - Three Films	\$ 24.46	\$ -	\$ 29.35
*	D0274		Bitewing - Four Film	\$ 31.39	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00
*	D0330		Panoramic X-rays	\$ 57.67	\$ 65.00	\$ 65.00
*	D1110		Prophylaxis - Adult (13+)	\$ 35.35	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
*	D1120		Prophylaxis - Child	\$ 25.50	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
*	D1203		Flouride - Child	\$ 15.44	\$ 19.00	\$ 19.00
*	D1204		Flouride Adult (13+)	\$ 15.44	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	D1351		Sealant - Per Tooth	\$ 29.93	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
*	D1510		Space maintainer - fixed - unilateral	\$ 200.00	\$ -	\$ 220.00
*	D1515		Space maintainer - fixed - bilateral	\$ 280.00	\$ -	\$ 440.00
*	D2140		Amalgam - 1 Surface Prim & Perm	\$ 62.78	\$ 69.00	\$ 69.00
*	D2150		Amalgam - 2 Surface Prim & Perm	\$ 79.41	\$ 85.00	\$ 85.00
*	D2160		Amalgam - 3 Surface Prim &Perm	\$ 91.25	\$ 110.00	\$ 110.00
*	D2161		Amalgam - 4+ Surface Prim &Perm	\$ 100.10	\$ 124.00	\$ 124.00
*	D2330		Resin - 1 Surface, Anterior, Prim. & Perm.	\$ 62.78	\$ 70.00	\$ 70.00
*	D2331		Resin - 2 Surface, Anterior, Prim. & Perm.	\$ 77.38	\$ 87.00	\$ 87.00
*	D2332		Resin - 3 Surface, Anterior, Prim. &	\$ 91.25	\$ 110.00	\$ 110.00

			Perm.			
*	D2335		Resin - 4 Surface, Anterior, Prim. & Perm.	\$ 116.07	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00
*	D2391		Resin -1 Surface, Post Prim & Perm	\$ 77.38	\$ 85.00	\$ 85.00
*	D2392		Resin - 2 Surface,Post Prim. & Perm.	\$ 116.07	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00
*	D2393		Resin - 3 Surface, Post & Perm. Only	\$ 149.70	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	SERVICE DESCRIPTION	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
SLIDES	CODE	MOD.		RATE	FOR	FEES
					FY 07-08	FY 08-09
*	D2394		Resin - 4 Surface, Post Prim. & Perm.	\$ 183.10	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00
*	D2920		Dental Recement Crown	-	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	D2930		Prefab. Stainless Steel Crown, Prim.	\$ 144.25	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
*	D2931		Prefab. Stainless Steel Crown, Perm.	\$ 150.00	\$ 160.00	\$ 160.00
*	D2940		Sedative Filling	\$ 41.65	\$ 35.00	\$ 42.00
*	D2950		Core Build-Up	\$ 102.90	\$ 80.00	\$ 102.90
*	D2970		Temporary Crown (Fractured tooth)	\$ 132.79	\$ -	\$ 152.00
*	D3220		Therapeutic Pulpotomy	\$ 78.11	\$ 90.00	\$ 90.00
*	D4341		Perio Scale per Quad	\$ 95.55	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
*	D4342		Perio Scaling/Root Planing-1 to 3/Quadrant	\$ 61.25	\$ -	\$ 62.00
*	D4355		Full Mouth Scale	\$ 70.56	\$ 175.00	\$ 75.00
*	D4910		Periodontal Maintenance	\$ 51.94	\$ -	\$ 58.50
*	D5110		Complete Denture - Maximillary	\$ 612.50	\$ -	\$ 615.00
*	D5120		Complete Denture - Mandibular	\$ 612.50	\$ -	\$ 615.00
*	D5130		Immediate Denture - Maxillary	\$ 664.44	\$ -	\$ 665.00
*	D5140		Immediate Denture - Mandibular	\$ 664.44	\$ -	\$ 665.00
*	D5211		Maxillary Partial Denture - Resin Base	\$ 454.23	\$ -	\$ 460.00
*	D5212		Mandibular Partial Denture - Resin Base	\$ 454.23	\$ -	\$ 460.00
*	D5213		Maxillary Partial Denture-Cast Metal	\$ 656.60	\$ -	\$ 660.00

			w/Resin			
*	D5214		Mandibular Partial Denture - Cast Metal w/Resin	\$ 656.60	\$ -	\$ 660.00
	D5281		Unilateral Cast/Partial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 250.00
*	D5410		Adjust Complete Denture - Maxillary	\$ 33.32	\$ -	\$ 59.00
*	D5411		Adjust Complete Denture - Mandibular	\$ 33.32	\$ -	\$ 59.00
*	D5421		Adjust Partial Denture - Maxillary	\$ 33.32	\$ -	\$ 59.00
*	D5422		Adjust Partial Denture - Mandibular	\$ 33.32	\$ -	\$ 59.00
*	D5510		Repair Broken Complete Denture Base	\$ 80.80	\$ -	\$ 82.00
*	D5520		Replace Missing/Broken Teeth - Denture	\$ 68.11	\$ -	\$ 70.00
*	D5610		Repair Resin Denture Base	\$ 80.80	\$ -	\$ 82.00
*	D5630		Repair or Replace Broken Clasp	\$ 155.00	\$ -	\$ 156.00
*	D5640		Replace Broken Teeth - Per Tooth	\$ 68.60	\$ -	\$ 70.00
*	D5650		Add Tooth to Existing Partial Denture	\$ 83.30	\$ -	\$ 85.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	SERVICE DESCRIPTION	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	D5660		Add Clasp to Existing Partial Denture	\$ 125.00	\$ -	\$ 183.00
*	D5730		Reline Complete Maxillary Denture (Chairside)	\$ 142.10	\$ -	\$ 145.00
*	D5731		Reline Complete Mandibular Denture (Chairside)	\$ 142.10	\$ -	\$ 145.00
*	D5740		Reline Maxillary Partial Denture (Chairside)	\$ 139.65	\$ -	\$ 145.00
*	D5741		Reline Mandibular Partial Denture (Chairside)	\$ 139.65	\$ -	\$ 140.00
*	D5750		Reline Complete Maxillary Denture (Lab)	\$ 180.81	\$ -	\$ 182.00
*	D5751		Reline Complete Mandibular Denture (Lab)	\$ 180.81	\$ -	\$ 182.00

*	D5760		Reline Maxillary Partial Denture (Lab)	\$ 176.40	\$ -	\$ 177.00
*	D5761		Reline Mandibular Partial Denture (Lab)	\$ 176.40	\$ -	\$ 177.00
*	D5820		Flipper (interim partial denture) Maxillary			\$170.00
*	D5821		Flipper (interim partial denture) Mandibular			\$170.00
*	D6985		Pediatric Partial Denture, Fixed	\$ 359.17		\$ 365.00
*	D7111		Extraction , Coronal Remnants- Deciduous Tooth	\$ 49.00	\$ -	\$ 76.00
*	D7140		Extraction Permanent	\$ 60.50	\$ 86.00	\$ 86.00
*	D7210		Surgical Removal - Tooth/Bone	\$ 104.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 105.00
*	D7510		Incision/Drain Abscess Intr-soft	\$ 116.25	\$ 175.00	\$ 175.00
*	D7910		Suture of Recent Small Wound	\$ 174.94	\$ 180.00	\$ 180.00
*	D7960		Frenulectomy - separate procedure	\$ 168.07	\$ -	\$ 170.00
*	D9110		Palliative Treatment (Min. Proc.)	\$ 44.59	\$ 25.00	\$ 45.00
*	D9230		Nitrous Oxide Analgesia	\$ 45.00	\$ -	\$ 54.00
*	D9940		Removable Dental Appliance			\$ 35.00
*	DUPXR		Duplicate X-Rays	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10.00
	<u>Lab Services - In-House</u>					
*	36415		Collection of Venous Blood by Venipuncture	\$ 3.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.00
*	81000		Routine Urine	\$ 4.43	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	81001		Urinalysis - Automated with microscopy	\$ 4.43	-	\$ 20.00
*	81002		Urine Dipstick	\$ 3.57	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	81003		Urinalysis - Automated without microscopy	\$ 3.14	-	\$ 15.00
*	81015		Microscopic Urine Exam	\$ 4.24	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	81025		PregnancyTest	\$ 8.84	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	82270		Hemocult	\$ 4.54	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	82947		Blood Glucose Serum	\$ 5.48	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00

<u>FEE</u>	<u>CPT</u>	<u>REQ'D</u>	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>MEDICAID</u>	<u>FEES</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	<u>FOR</u>	<u>FEES</u>
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	82948		Glucose, Finger Stick	\$ 4.43	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	82950		Glucose, One Hour	\$ 6.64	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	82951		Glucose, Three Hour	\$ 17.99	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
*	86592		RPR Quantitative	\$ 5.96	\$ -	\$ -
*	86593		RPR Titer	\$ 6.16	\$ -	\$ -
*	87081		GC Culture	\$ 8.06	\$ -	\$ -
*	87205		Urethral Smear	\$ 5.96	\$ -	\$ -
*	87210		Wet Mount	\$ 5.33	\$ -	\$ -
*	87880		Group A Strep	\$ 16.01	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
FEES FOR COPYING MEDICAL RECORDS						
	MEDREC1		\$10.00 for under 25 pages			
	MEDREC2		\$15.00 for 25-50 pages			
	MEDREC3		\$20.00 for 50 or more pages			
REFERENCE LAB (REFERENCE LAB BILLS MEDICAID)						
*	86900MH		ABO & RH (Blood Group & Type)	\$ 4.17	\$ 6.00	\$ 6.00
	86900RL		ABO & RH (Blood Group & Type)	\$ 4.17	\$ 6.00	\$ 6.00
*	80074MH		Acute Hepatitis Panel - A, B, C	\$ 65.11	\$ 66.00	\$ 66.00
	80074RL		Acute Hepatitis Panel - A, B, C	\$ 65.11	\$ 66.00	\$ 66.00
*	82105MH		Alpha-fetoprotein, serum	\$ 23.44	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	84460MH		ALT	\$ 7.40	\$ 8.50	\$ 8.50
	84460RL		ALT	\$ 7.40	\$ 8.50	\$ 8.50
*	82150MH		Amylase	\$ 9.06	-	\$ 11.00
	82150RL		Amylase	\$ 9.06	-	\$ 11.00

*	86038MH		ANA	\$ 16.89	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86038RL		ANA	\$ 16.89	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	87076MH		Anaerobic Culture	\$ 11.29	\$ 13.25	\$ 13.25
	87076RL		Anaerobic Culture	\$ 11.29	\$ 13.25	\$ 13.25
*	86850MH		Antibody Screening (Atypical Antibodies)	\$ 16.27	\$ 37.00	\$ 37.00
	86850RL		Antibody Screening (Atypical Antibodies)	\$ 16.27	\$ 37.00	\$ 37.00
*	86870MH		Antibody Titer/ID	\$ 28.74	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
	86870RL		Antibody Titer/ID	\$ 28.74	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	86147MH		Anticardiolipin Antibodies	\$ 20.28	-	\$ 22.00
	86147RL		Anticardiolipin Antibodies	\$ 20.28	-	\$ 22.00
*	86060MH		ASO Titer	\$ 10.20	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00
	86060RL		ASO Titer	\$ 10.20	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00
*	82677MH		Asay of Estriol	\$ 33.79	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
	82677RL		Asay of Estriol	\$ 33.79	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00
*	83540MH		Assay of Iron	\$ 9.05	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
	83540RL		Assay of Iron	\$ 9.05	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	84450MH		AST	\$ 7.22	\$ 8.50	\$ 8.50
	84450RL		AST	\$ 7.22	\$ 8.50	\$ 8.50
*	87205MH		Bact. Culture Gram Stain	\$ 5.96	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
	87205RL		Bact. Culture Gram Stain	\$ 5.96	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
*	87070MH		Bact. Culture Screen (Culture General)	\$ 12.03	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
	87070RL		Bact. Culture Screen (Culture General)	\$ 12.03	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
*	87081MH		Beta Strep (cervix)	\$ 8.06	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
	87081RL		Beta Strep (cervix)	\$ 8.06	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00

*	82239MH		Bile Acids	\$ 22.76	\$ 28.00	\$ 28.00
	82239RL		Bile Acids	\$ 22.76	\$ 28.00	\$ 28.00
*	86592MH		Blood Serology - RPR	\$ 5.96	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
	86592RL		Blood Serology - RPR	\$ 5.96	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
*	84520MH		BUN (serum)	\$ 5.51	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
	84520RL		BUN (serum)	\$ 5.51	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
*	85027MH		CBC without diff	\$ 9.04	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	85027RL		CBC without diff	\$ 9.04	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	88180MH		CD4	\$ 60.65	\$ 82.00	\$ 82.00
	88180RL		CD4	\$ 60.65	\$ 82.00	\$ 82.00
*	80053MH		Chem 13	\$ 11.80	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
	80053RL		Chem 13	\$ 11.80	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
*	80048MH		Chem 7 (Basic Met Panel)	\$ 11.20	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
	80048RL		Chem 7 (Basic Met Panel)	\$ 11.20	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
*	82465MH		Cholesterol Panel	\$ 6.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	82465RL		Cholesterol Panel	\$ 6.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	87230MH		Clostridium difficile toxin A	\$ 27.59	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
	87230RL		Clostridium difficile toxin A	\$ 27.59	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
*	82565MH		Creatinine (serum)	\$ 7.16	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
	82565RL		Creatinine (serum)	\$ 7.16	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
*	87110MH		Culture Chlamydia	\$ 27.37	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
	87110RL		Culture Chlamydia	\$ 27.37	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
*	87040MH		Culture, Bacteria (Blood)	\$ 14.42		\$ 17.30
	87040RL		Culture, Bacteria (Blood)	\$ 14.42		\$ 17.30
*	86644MH		Cytomeglovirus IGG	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00

	86644RL		Cytomeglovirus IGG	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86645MH		Cytomeglovirus IGM	\$ 20.28	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86645RL		Cytomeglovirus IGM	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	80185MH		Dilantin-bound Serum	\$ 18.52	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	80185RL		Dilantin-bound Serum	\$ 18.52	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	85007MH		Differential	\$ 4.81	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.00
	85007RL		Differential	\$ 4.81	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.00
*	80186MH		Dilantin-free Serum	\$ 19.23	\$ 27.00	\$ 27.00
	80186RL		Dilantin-free Serum	\$ 19.23	\$ 27.00	\$ 27.00
*	86880MH		Direct Combs	\$ 7.50	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
	86880RL		Direct Combs	\$ 7.50	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
*	80101MH		Drug Screen	\$ 19.24	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	80101RL		Drug Screen	\$ 19.24	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	80051MH		Electrolytes	\$ 9.64	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00
	80051RL		Electrolytes	\$ 9.64	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00
*	86663MH		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 18.33	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86663RL		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 18.33	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86664MH		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 20.28	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86664RL		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 20.28	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86665MH		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 22.70	\$ 24.00	\$ 24.00
	86665RL		Epsteing Barr Antibody	\$ 22.70	\$ 24.00	\$ 24.00
*	85651MH		ESR (Sed Rate)	\$ 4.96	\$ 6.00	\$ 6.00
	85651RL		ESR (Sed Rate)	\$ 4.96	\$ 6.00	\$ 6.00
*	82731MH		Fetal Fibronectin	\$ 89.99	-	\$ 95.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
	82731RL		Fetal Fibronectin	\$ 89.99	-	\$ 95.00

*	82728MH		Ferritin	\$	19.03	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
	82728RL		Ferritin	\$	19.03	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
*	82746MH		Folate	\$	20.54	\$	26.00	\$	26.00
	82746RL		Folate	\$	20.54	\$	26.00	\$	26.00
*	84481MH		Free T3 (unbound)	\$	23.67	\$	27.00	\$	27.00
	84481RL		Free T3 (unbound)	\$	23.67	\$	27.00	\$	27.00
*	84439MH		Free T4 (unbound)	\$	12.60	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
	84439RL		Free T4 (unbound)	\$	12.60	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
	83001RL		FSH	\$	25.97	\$	30.00	\$	30.00
*	87102MH		Fungus Culture	\$	11.74	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
	87102RL		Fungus Culture	\$	11.74	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
*	82977MH		GGT	\$	10.06	\$	12.00	\$	12.00
	82977RL		GGT	\$	10.06	\$	12.00	\$	12.00
*	82947MH		Glucose	\$	5.48	\$	8.00	\$	8.00
	82947RL		Glucose	\$	5.48	\$	8.00	\$	8.00
	87205RL		Gram Stain	\$	5.96	\$	8.00	\$	8.00
*	87880MH		Group A Strep	\$	16.01	\$	22.00	\$	22.00
	87880RL		Group A Strep	\$	16.01	\$	22.00	\$	22.00
*	83036MH		Hbg A1C (Hemoglobin A1C)	\$	13.56	\$	16.00	\$	16.00
	83036RL		Hbg A1C (Hemoglobin A1C)	\$	13.56	\$	16.00	\$	16.00
*	84703MH		HCG, Qual.	\$	10.49	\$	13.00	\$	13.00
	84703RL		HCG, Qual.	\$	10.49	\$	13.00	\$	13.00
*	84702MH		HCG, Titer	\$	12.22	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
	84702RL		HCG, Titer	\$	12.22	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
*	83718MH		HDL	\$	11.44	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
	83718RL		HDL	\$	11.44	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
*	80076MH		Hepatic Function (Liver Profile)	\$	11.20	\$	16.00	\$	16.00
	80076RL		Hepatic Function (Liver Profile)	\$	11.20	\$	16.00	\$	16.00

*	86709MH		Hepatitis A Antibody IGM	\$ 15.73	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
	86709RL		Hepatitis A Antibody IGM	\$ 15.73	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	86708MH		Hepatitis A Antibody Total	\$ 17.31	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	86708RL		Hepatitis A Antibody Total	\$ 17.31	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	86707MH		Hepatitis ABC - Hep B BE AB	\$ 16.16	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86707RL		Hepatitis ABC - Hep B BE AB	\$ 16.16	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	87340MH		Hepatitis ABC - Hep B Surf AB	\$ 13.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00
	87340RL		Hepatitis ABC - Hep B Surf AB	\$ 13.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00
*	86803MH		Hepatitis ABC - Hep C AB	\$ 19.94	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86803RL		Hepatitis ABC - Hep C AB	\$ 19.94	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86704MH		Hepatitis ABC-Hep Core AB	\$ 16.26	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00
	86704RL		Hepatitis ABC-Hep Core AB	\$ 16.26	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00
*	86706MH		Hepatitis B Panel	\$ 15.01	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
	86706RL		Hepatitis B Panel	\$ 15.01	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	87350MH		Hepatitis BE AG, EIA	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
	87350RL		Hepatitis BE AG, EIA	\$ 15.46	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
*	86803MH		Hepatitis C Antibody	\$ 19.94	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86803RL		Hepatitis C Antibody	\$ 19.94	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	87253MH		Herpes Culture	\$ 22.76	\$ 73.00	\$ 73.00
	87253RL		Herpes Culture	\$ 22.76	\$ 73.00	\$ 73.00
*	86694MH		Herpes Simplex Typ I & II IGM	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86694RL		Herpes Simplex Typ I & II IGM	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86687MH		HIV	\$ 11.72	\$ 58.00	\$ 58.00
	86687RL		HIV	\$ 11.72	\$ 58.00	\$ 58.00
*	83898MH		HIV RNA Viral Load Cytomm.	\$ 5.75	\$ 237.00	\$ 237.00

	83898RL		HIV RNA Viral Load Cytomm.	\$	5.75	\$	237.00	\$	237.00
*	87621RL		HIV Typing	\$	34.26	\$	138.00	\$	138.00
	87621MH		HIV Typing	\$	34.26	\$	138.00	\$	138.00
*	86003MH		Immuno (Allergen Specific, Quant.	\$	7.30	\$	-	\$	9.00
	86003RL		Immuno (Allergen Specific, Quant.	\$	7.30	\$	-	\$	9.00
*	83540MH		Iron (serum)	\$	9.05	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
	83540RL		Iron (serum)	\$	9.05	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
*	83550MH		Iron Binding Test (TIBC)	\$	12.21	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
	83550RL		Iron Binding Test (TIBC)	\$	12.21	\$	14.00	\$	14.00
*	83615MH		LDH	\$	8.44	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
	83615RL		LDH	\$	8.44	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	SERVICE DESCRIPTION		MEDICAID		FEES		CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>			<u>RATE</u>		FOR		FEES
							<u>FY 07-08</u>		<u>FY 08-09</u>
	83002RL		LH	\$	25.88	\$	30.00	\$	30.00
*	83690MH		Lipase	\$	9.62	\$	-	\$	11.00
	83690RL		Lipase	\$	9.62	\$	-	\$	11.00
*	80061MH		Lipid Profile	\$	18.72	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
	80061RL		Lipid Profile	\$	18.72	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
*	85597MH		Lupus Anticoagulant	\$	25.12	\$	-	\$	27.00
	85597RL		Lupus Anticoagulant	\$	25.12	\$	-	\$	27.00
*	83735MH		Magnesium (serum)	\$	9.36	\$	12.00	\$	12.00
	83735RL		Magnesium (serum)	\$	9.36	\$	12.00	\$	12.00
*	86308MH		Monospot	\$	7.23	\$	10.00	\$	10.00
	86308RL		Monospot	\$	7.23	\$	10.00	\$	10.00
*	86735MH		Mumps IGG/IGM	\$	18.23	\$	22.00	\$	22.00
	86735RL		Mumps IGGIGM	\$	18.23	\$	22.00	\$	22.00
*	82270MH		Occult Blood	\$	4.54	\$	6.00	\$	6.00

	82270RL		Occult Blood	\$ 4.54	\$ 6.00	\$ 6.00
*	87077MH		Organism Identification	\$ 11.29	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
	87077RL		Organism Identification	\$ 11.29	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00
*	82951MH		Oral Glucose Tolerance (3 hr.)	\$ 17.99	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
	82951RL		Oral Glucose Tolerance (3 hr.)	\$ 17.99	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
*	82952MH		Oral Glucose Tolerance (3 hr.)	\$ 5.48	\$ -	\$ 7.00
	82952RL		Oral Glucose Tolerance (3 hr.)	\$ 5.48	\$ -	\$ 7.00
*	82950MH		O'Sul Tolerance (1 hr. GTT)	\$ 6.64	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
	82950RL		O'Sul Tolerance (1 hr. GTT)	\$ 6.64	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
*	88142MH		Pap Smear	\$ 28.31	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
	88142RL		Pap Smear	\$ 28.31	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
*	86747MH		Parvo B 19 IGG/IGM	\$ 20.28	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86747RL		Parvo B 19 IGG/IGM	\$ 20.28	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	88305MH		Pathology	\$ 91.27	\$ 90.00	\$ 110.00
	88305RL		Pathology	\$ 91.27	\$ 90.00	\$ 110.00
*	84146MH		Prolactin	\$ 27.08	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
	84146RL		Prolactin	\$ 27.08	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00
*	85610MH		PT	\$ 5.49	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
	85610RL		PT	\$ 5.49	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
FEE	CPT	REQ'D	SERVICE DESCRIPTION	MEDICAID	FEES	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	FOR	FEES
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
*	85730MH		PTT	\$ 8.38	\$ 11.00	\$ 11.00
	85730RL		PTT	\$ 8.38	\$ 11.00	\$ 11.00
*	85044MH		Retic Count	\$ 6.01	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
	85044RL		Retic Count	\$ 6.01	\$ 8.00	\$ 8.00
*	87425MH		Rotovirus ag, EIA	\$ 16.01	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00
	87425RL		Rotovirus ag, EIA	\$ 16.01	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00

*	86762MH		Rubella IGG/IGM	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86762RL		Rubella IGG/IGM	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	86765MH		Rubeolla IGG	\$ 18.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
	86765RL		Rubeolla IGG	\$ 18.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00
*	87210MH		Smear, Stain Interpret	\$ 5.33	\$ 7.00	\$ 7.00
	87210RL		Smear, Stain Interpret	\$ 5.33	\$ 7.00	\$ 7.00
*	84436MH		T4	\$ 8.06	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
	84436RL		T4	\$ 8.06	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
	80156RL		Tegratol Level	\$ 20.34	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00
*	80156MH		Tegratol Level	\$ 20.34	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00
*	84479MH		T3	\$ 8.33	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00
	84479RL		T3	\$ 8.33	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00
*	86777MH		Toxoplasmosis IGG	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86777RL		Toxoplasmosis IGG	\$ 20.08	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	82491MH		Triliptal Level	\$ 25.23	\$ 32.00	\$ 32.00
	82491RL		Triliptal Level	\$ 25.23	\$ 32.00	\$ 32.00
*	84478MH		Triglycerides	\$ 8.04	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
	84478RL		Triglycerides	\$ 8.04	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00
*	84443MH		TSH	\$ 22.77	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
	84443RL		TSH	\$ 22.77	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
*	84550MH		Uric Acid (serum)	\$ 6.31	\$ 9.00	\$ 9.00
	84550RL		Uric Acid (serum)	\$ 6.31	\$ 9.00	\$ 9.00
*	82575MH		Urine 24 hr. for Prot/Creat.	\$ 13.20	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
	82575RL		Urine 24 hr. for Prot/Creat.	\$ 13.20	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
*	87088MH		Urine Culture	\$ 11.31	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
	87088RL		Urine Culture	\$ 11.31	\$ 17.00	\$ 17.00
*	87186MH		Urine Sensitivity	\$ 12.08	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00

FEE	CPT	REQ'D	<u>SERVICE DESCRIPTION</u>	MEDICAID	FEE	CURRENT
<u>SLIDES</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>MOD.</u>		<u>RATE</u>	<u>FOR</u>	<u>FEE</u>
					<u>FY 07-08</u>	<u>FY 08-09</u>
	87186RL		Urine Sensitivity	\$ 12.08	\$ 16.00	\$ 16.00
*	86787MH		Varicella-Zoster IGG/IGM	\$ 18.00	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
	86787RL		Varicella-Zoster IGG/IGM	\$ 18.00	\$ 22.00	\$ 22.00
*	87252MH		Viral Culture	\$ 22.76	\$ 120.00	\$ 85.00
	87252RL		Viral Culture	\$ 22.76	\$ 120.00	\$ 85.00
	82607RL		Vitamin B-12	\$ 21.06	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00

Agricultural Advisory Board: Approved pursuant to Attendance Policy and the recommendation of the Agricultural Advisory Board to terminate the membership of Brian Norton on its board and authorized Clerk to advertise to fill vacancy of unexpired term

Personnel: Amendment to County's Pay and Classification Plan: Approved the reclassification of Position #511088 from Medical Office Assistant to Licensed Practical Nurse I with the pay grade remaining at 58

Village of Lake Park – Interlocal Agreement for Enforcement of Flood Plain Regulation affirming Union County's duty to administer and to enforce the floodplain provisions of the Union County Land Use Ordinance within the municipal limits of the Village of Lake Park and to authorize the County Manager to make minor revisions, as necessary, following final legal review

VILLAGE OF LAKE PARK

Intergovernmental Agreement between Village of Lake Park and the County of Union, North Carolina

This resolution and agreement, made and entered into this the 14th day of October, 2008, by and between the Village of Lake Park, a municipal corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of North Carolina, Party of the First Part and hereinafter referred to as the VILLAGE and Union County, a political subdivision of the State of North Carolina established and operating pursuant to the laws of the State of North Carolina, Party of the Second Part and hereinafter referred to as the COUNTY;

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the VILLAGE and the COUNTY, pursuant to the authority granted by the North Carolina General Statutes 160A-461, and any other applicable State law hereby covenant and agree as follows:

1. That the VILLAGE hereby contracts with the COUNTY to administer and enforce the VILLAGE's Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance within the corporate limits and extra-territorial jurisdiction of the VILLAGE; provided that during the term of this Agreement the VILLAGE agrees to maintain and amend its ordinance provisions, as necessary, such that they remain at all times identical to the corresponding provisions of the Union County Land Use Ordinance, including but not limited to the Floodplain and Floodway Overlay Zoning District and Article XXIV, Flood Damage Prevention.
2. That the COUNTY'S Flood Damage Prevention provisions and all flood plain mapping as of October 6, 2008 are hereby adopted by the VILLAGE, through execution of this Interlocal Agreement, as well as by separate Resolution of the Village Council as a free standing ordinance.
3. That the services so provided by Union County shall be performed at no cost to the VILLAGE. However, should any claims arise out of the services provided by the COUNTY under this agreement, the VILLAGE agrees to indemnify and hold the COUNTY, its officers, employees, agents and contractors harmless from any and all claims for liability, loss, injury, damages to persons or property, costs or attorney's fees resulting from any action brought against Union County, its officers, employees, agents, and contractors arising as a result of these services performed on behalf of the VILLAGE that are the subject matter of this Agreement.
4. That all fees and charges, if any, adopted by the Board of County Commissioners associated with administering the Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance shall be collected by the COUNTY, shall be the sole property of the COUNTY, and no part thereof shall be payable to the VILLAGE.
5. That all development to take place within the VILLAGE's corporate limits or extra-territorial jurisdiction shall be subject to the rules and regulations set forth in the aforementioned Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance.
6. That this Agreement shall continue until such time as either the VILLAGE or COUNTY resolves to discontinue the Agreement and presents six (6) months written notice to the other party of said termination or upon mutual agreement of both parties, or until such

time as the VILLAGE elects to assume Article 19 powers of Chapter 160A of the North Carolina General Statutes, pursuant to G.S. 160A-360.

7. This Agreement may only be modified in writing and executed by both parties.
8. That the effective date of this Interlocal Agreement shall be October 14th, 2008 and shall renew annually until terminated by either party or replaced by entry into another similar agreement.
9. That this agreement shall not be deemed or construed under any circumstances to impose on the COUNTY any greater or lesser duty for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Union County Land Use Ordinance within the corporate limits or extraterritorial jurisdiction of the Village of Lake Park than would otherwise be applicable, but for this interlocal agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Village of Lake Park has caused this Agreement to be signed in its name by its Mayor, attested by its Clerk, and its Official Seal to be hereunto affixed, and Union County has caused this agreement to be signed in its name by the Chair of the Board of Commissioners and attested by the Clerk of its Board and its Official Seal to be hereunto affixed, the day and year first above written.

VILLAGE OF LAKE PARK

This the ____ day of _____, 2008.

By _____, Mayor

ATTEST _____, Clerk

UNION COUNTY

This the ___ day of _____, 2008.

By _____, County Manager.

ATTEST _____, Clerk

Union County Public Schools: Adopted Capital Project Ordinance #108a which provides for architectural and engineering funding for:

1. Comprehensive Facility Study
2. Piedmont High School Renovations
3. New Salem Elementary School Renovations
4. Sun Valley High School Additions and Renovations – moved to regular agenda for discussion (see CPO 108b in Consent Agenda)
5. Western Union Elementary School Renovations
6. Off-site water system improvements for Elementary School “L”
7. Contingencies for Wesley Chapel Elementary School Renovations

CAPITAL PROJECT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

BUDGET School Bond Fund - 55

REQUESTED BY Kai Nelson

FISCAL YEAR FY 2008-2009

DATE October 6, 2008

PROJECT SOURCES

PROJECT USES

Source Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project	Project Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
G.O. Bond Proceeds	473,564,108	1,985,189	475,549,297	Elementary School "L" (115C-429b project allocation)	16,412,592	95,000	16,507,592
All Other Revenue	1,363,308	-	1,363,308	Wesley Chapel Elem School (115C-429b project allocation)	4,576,995	135,743	4,712,738
				Comprehensive Facility Study (115C-429b project	-	555,000	555,000

			allocation)			
			Piedmont HS A&R (115C-429b project allocation)	-	625,899	625,899
			Western Union Elem Sch A&R (115C-429b project allocation)	-	236,500	236,500
			New Salem Elem Sch A&R (115C-429b project allocation)	-	337,047	337,047
			All Other School Projects	453,937,829	-	453,937,829
<u>474,927,416</u>	<u>1,985,189</u>	<u>476,912,605</u>		<u>474,927,416</u>	<u>1,985,189</u>	<u>476,912,605</u>

EXPLANATION: Funding requests submitted by UCPS for various projects listed above pursuant to 115C-429b.

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER’S COMMENTS:

The Chairman recognized Matthew Delk, Assistant County Manager, who briefed the board on several items that he wanted to bring to the Board’s attention and to the attention of the public.

He reported to the Board that the Union County Libraries will be closed on November 12 and November 13 to allow time to train staff on the new automated software and the On-Line Public Access Catalog, which will greatly speed up the research functions for those who use the card catalog system. Also, he reminded that the Unionville branch library will be closing permanently on November 6th and all books and material in that branch will be moved to the Union West Regional Library.

Mr. Delk explained that the Department of Social Services will be taking applications for the Low-Income Energy Assistance Program from November 3rd to November 14th, Monday through Friday, from 8:00 o’clock A.M. until 5:00 o’clock P.M., at the DSS office

on West Roosevelt Boulevard, Monroe. He said this program will give qualifying families a one-time cash payment to help pay specifically for heating bills.

The Assistant Manager announced that the Christmas Bureau will open on Monday, October 27th, at the Skyway Drive location. He reported that people seeking information on donating to the Christmas bureau or applying for assistance should call Gloria Haney at 704-296-4312.

Mr. Delk said that the adults only flu shot clinics will be October 17th at the County's Agricultural Center and on October 24th at the First Baptist Church in Monroe. He announced that the shots are \$25 and Blue Cross, Medicare and Medicaid are accepted. He said anyone seeking information on flu shots for children should call the Union County Health Department at 704-296-4800.

He said it is now fast approaching the last opportunity to purchase rain barrels. He said these must be ordered by November 3rd from the Masters Gardeners and will be delivered on November 8th. He gave the prices of these barrels and brief directions for connections and purposes for their use.

Mr. Delk reported that a short video on North Carolina counties will be shown on the government channel. He said this was prepared by the North Carolina Association of County Commissioners to commemorate 100 years of that organization's existence. He said the viewing schedule is shown on the County's Web Site at www.co.union.nc.us. He also suggested that people go to the site to see the beautiful aerial pictures made by Commissioner Lane of the new Monroe High School Football Stadium.

He also stated that as of October 3rd Union County has 116,000 registered voters and that since January 1st, there have been 13,179 new voters registered. He announced that registration will end at 5 P.M. on October 10th and after that a person can register and vote at the same time at all one-stop voting locations between October 16th through November 1st. The difference is if a citizen wants to vote on the day of the election, he/she must be registered by 5:00 p.m. on October 10th. He gave the locations for One-Stop Voting.

Mr. Delk recognized John Petoskey, Tax Administrator, and announced that the tax office had revaluated over 90,000 properties and over 5,000 revaluations were appealed with only 1,395 appeals going to the Board of Equalization and Review. He said the Equalization and Review Board met for their final meeting last week. He said this Board met two or three times a week for five months and expressed his appreciation to the members of that Board. He said only one-third of the appeals actually had the valuation changed on some merit.

UNION COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS-Sun Valley High School Additions and Renovations: Moved from Consent Agenda

Mr. Greene, County Manager, explained that the Union County Board of Education has requested that the Board authorize the funding of Sun Valley High School's architectural and engineering work. He said he had requested this school be removed from the consent agenda and from the list of other school improvements recommended for architectural and engineering funding to give him time to get additional information. He stated that he learned late last week that the County's staff projected school's student capacity count after renovations differed from the number the school's staff had previously provided. He said he and the staff do not feel that there is a significant problem but want to meet with the school personnel to make sure that the schools are intending to include improvements that would allow student capacity to increase from 1,225 students to 1,600 as earlier presented. Mr. Greene reiterated that he did not think it is a major obstacle but wants clarification; therefore, he recommended that the Board authorize him and the Finance Director to meet with the school staff to discuss capacity issues and then to grant him authorization to approve funding for the architectural and engineering work at such time that the County and school staff are in agreement as to the desired design capacity.

Don Hughes, representing the Union County School System, said that he had talked with Dr. Davis and Dr. Webb and there is no objection to the two staffs meeting. He said there is a Board of Education meeting tomorrow night and some of these issues will be discussed. He emphasized there could not be much delay because the school system needs to proceed with this project. He asked for clarification that only the Sun Valley High School project was pulled from the earlier action.

It was confirmed by the Manager and Chairman that this was delaying only funding for Sun Valley High School's additions and renovations; nothing else was pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Mr. Hughes said there could be some delay in this one item as there are some issues being studied by the Board of Education on additions and renovations to the Sun Valley Middle School. He stressed that they would like for the staff of the two units to meet this week so they could proceed with discussions with architects for all projects.

Commissioner Openshaw stated he was glad the County Manager pulled this because he had wanted to discuss this subject. He said his first concern is that this set of presented projects is a penny in tax revenue and that he had been concerned about the CIP presentation and about each of these issues due to the fact that since the CIP's presentation, the stock market has dropped about 20 percent. Commissioner Openshaw said that he understood the economy of scale and doing the study but is concerned about the timeline. He said he wanted to go on record as basically saying that he understood that the schools are doing all these projects, but he was looking at the timeline and the cost of doing the renovations in the future. He said he was delighted to support the total request as outlined in the Consent Agenda but wanted to look at how it is going to be spread out as to cost to the taxpayers. He emphasized that the County has been spending a lot of money for

schools and, at some point, particularly, now as student growth is slowing and the revenue is going to be less, the County needs to pull in the reins a little bit. He asked Mr. Hughes to express that opinion to the Board of Education for him.

Motion was made by Commissioner Lane that the recommendation of the County Manager be accepted and the staff be directed to meet with school staff on the Sun Valley High School’s project to capacity differences and to authorize the County Manager to approve funding for architectural and engineering fees for the project at such time that he and the school staff are in agreement as to the desired design capacity. The motion passed unanimously. (See Consent Agenda Item and CPO 8a)

CAPITAL PROJECT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

BUDGET School Bond Fund - 55

REQUESTED BY Kai Nelson

FISCAL YEAR FY 2008-2009

DATE October 6, 2008

PROJECT SOURCES

Source Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
G.O. Bond Proceeds	475,549,297	369,219	475,918,516
All Other Revenue	1,363,308	-	1,363,308

PROJECT USES

Project Description and Code	Project To Date	Requested Amendment	Revised Project
Sun Valley HS A&R (115C-429b project allocation)	-	369,219	369,219
All Other School Projects	476,912,605	-	476,912,605

476,912,605	369,219	477,281,824	476,912,605	369,219	477,281,824
-------------	---------	-------------	-------------	---------	-------------

EXPLANATION: Funding requests submitted by UCPS for various projects listed above pursuant to 115C-429b.

QUARTERLY UPDATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL PLAZA IN UNION COUNTY:

The Chairman recognized Roland Bibeau, Administrator Presbyterian Medical Center Monroe and Vice President Ambulatory Operations for Presbyterian Novant Medical Group.

Mr. Bibeau recognized the Presbyterian staff present and updated the progress of Presbyterian Medical Plaza in Monroe. He said he had a guest speaker because he wanted to make it clear that the project is not only about brick and mortar but about caring for the community. He said Dr. Maharajh of the Center of Child and Adolescent Medicine was to have been present but he is not feeling well so in his place Dr. Phillip Thevaus will speak. He explained that Dr. Thevaus is also in the same practice and has been for some time.

Dr. Thevaus thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak to them and reported that Dr. Maharajh who serves as one of the directors of Southeast Development for Presbyterian Healthcare as well as Chairman of Pediatrics for the Southern Region has the cough, cold, and fever that some others have already experienced. Therefore, in following earlier comments, he encouraged everyone to get their flu shots and to please wash their hands frequently. He said it was his pleasure to serve as lead physician for the Center for Child and Adolescent Medicine, which is a group of four physicians all of whom live in Union County and whose children all attend Union County schools. He said these physicians are proud of the County’s beauty, its resources, and especially proud of its people and their children who they are privileged to care for. He said he was starting his tenth year in practice in Union County and gave the years that the others have served the children in Union County. Dr. Thevaus explained that he prefaced with all the comments to explain that it is because of their medical commitment to this County that it was a major decision for his practice to join Presbyterian Healthcare in 2005. He said it was a careful decision that was reached individually and as a group through a lot of research and in speaking with other Presbyterian doctors. The main driver of that decision, he stated, was the wonderful and very unique synergistic relationship that exists between doctors and the administration. He said there are none of the typical stereotypes that one associates with healthcare either on the physician or administrator side that one might see mostly on television. He assured that there is a genuine commitment of providing quality healthcare and gave as an example the development of the new park at Monroe Medical Plaza. Dr. Thevaus said that his practice is very excited to be one of the anchor practices in this facility and believes it to be the premier site for healthcare delivery in this County.

He said that one of the driving questions or the main question was “how can the facility be designed to help the doctors see patients comprehensively, comfortable, and efficiently.” He assured that goal has been accomplished at many levels. One of these goals was accomplished by having an on-site lab facility; patients will not have to go to a hospital to get laboratory services. He assured that radiology will also be available. He noted that one thing that many practices are hindered by is that if a patient needs to be sent for an x-ray, CAT scan, or an MRI, the patient has to travel to another facility away from the office. He said in the medical plaza all of these services will be within walking distance. Dr. Thevaus said another key point is the ability for specialists to see patients. He pointed out that space will be available for specialists to come for consultations or a satellite office to see patients so the patients do not have to drive great distances. He reported that it is anticipated that barring any construction problems that his practice will be moving into the facility in December. He said the patients are excited about the move, its location, its proximity to other facilities, and the convenience of having multiple aspects of their healthcare met. Dr. Thevaus explained that also committed to this facility are Southern Piedmont Primary Care who will be able to take care of the parents of their patients. He pointed out that ear infections are the number one diagnosis in children, and he was pleased to announce that Cornerstone Ear, Nose and Throat will be their upstairs neighbor. He also reported that dental care will be provided in this facility. He stressed this is an exciting time for them as physicians to be part of this facility and part of Presbyterian Healthcare.

Mr. Bibeau reported that the package of information presented is an update of the construction plans which includes pictures depicting the progress of construction. He also emphasized the convenience and access of patients not only to their own practices but to other medical offices and to some retail space. Mr. Bibeau announced that other specialists are being sought to move into this medical plaza. He also noted an Urgent Care Facility will be available adjacent to the imaging center. He explained that Dr. Blank with Southern Piedmont Primary Care is anchored by Dr. Roy Blank who has been in the community for many years and who has worked with the Monroe Aquatics Center in development of a wellness program. Mr. Bibeau assured that Presbyterian Healthcare will continue to add specialty services to the campus. He reported the imaging center had been delayed until January or February because of the Certificate of Need process and the fact that there was another contestant in that process but it has now been settled. He said the imaging center is a big component for all the medical offices.

Mr. Bibeau said that Mr. Billings who presented with him last time sends his greeting. He said that Mr. Billings is now President of Presbyterian Healthcare -- Charlotte and had asked that if there comes a point in time that the Board wants to partner further with Presbyterian Healthcare in whatever services needed from Presbyterian Healthcare, he would wish to continue to partner and to grow in Union County.

The Chairman thanked Mr. Bibeau and Dr. Thevaus for their update on the construction and occupancy schedule for Presbyterian Healthcare.

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS THAT WILL REPLACE THE CURRENT MAPS FOR THE FLOODPLAIN AND FLOODWAY OVERLAY DISTRICTS:

The Chairman announced this item. It was noted in the package of information provided to the Board that the Planning Board has recommended that the Board of Commissioners adopt new maps that will replace the current maps for the Floodplain and Floodway Overlay District in the unincorporated areas of Union County as well as within the Village of Lake Park and the Town of Hemby Bridge. The proposed maps were produced by the State of North Carolina and establish Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Commissioner Openshaw moved that pursuant to N. C. G. S. 153A-341, the Board of County Commissioners does hereby find and determine that the proposed map amendment is consistent with the Union County Land Use Plan, and that the adoption of the proposed map amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because the amendment will help minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions within flood prone areas and thereby promote the public health, safety, and general welfare. The motion passed unanimously.

[MAPS ARE RECORDED AND WILL BECOME A PERMANENT PART OF THE MINUTES BY REFERENCE AND LOCATION IN THE MINUTE DOCUMENT.]

TEXT AMENDMENT TO LAND USE ORDINANCE REGARDING FLOODWAYS AND FLOODPLAINS (SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS): [See Minutes of Regular Meeting of August 11, 2009, for technical correction.]

Chairman Baucom announced the item and asked for a motion.

Commissioner Openshaw requested to have an opportunity to read the minutes of the Planning Board prior to acting on this item. He said the documentation or earlier presentation implied that there is only one change, but he thought from his research that the statement may be inaccurate.

The Chairman asked if there is a motion to delay action.

The County Manager pointed out that there is a need for the action to take place prior to October 16.

Lee Jenson, representing the Union County Planning Department, stated that there are actually two changes; these were made based on comments received from the State of North Carolina after its review. He said the changes were mainly clarifications: the first one was the addition of some dates under Section 386 in the second paragraph. Mr. Jenson said that his department had to ask the State for the dates and they researched the information and did not get the information back to the County before the Planning Board's action. He noted the dates were blank during the Planning Board's meeting. The second change was made in three locations of the Ordinance. Mr. Jenson said these changes were made in Section 400 (a) (2), Section 401 (b) (2), and 402 (a) (2). It was included in the documentation that the text amendment will be incorporated into the County's Land Use Ordinance text approved by North Carolina and FEMA. It was further noted in the documentation that the Planning Board has recommended that the Board of County Commissioners take the following action: Adopt a new Article XXIV Flood Damage Prevention and a new Section 117 Enforcement and Review of Floodplains and Floodways; amend Section 4 Bona Fide Farms Exempt, Section 15 Definitions, Section 79 Major Subdivision Final Plan Approval process, Section 94 Interpretations, Section 139 Floodplain and Floodway Overlay Districts, Article XVI Floodplains, Drainage, and Storm Water Management, Appendix A-5 Existing Natural, Man-Made and Legal Features, Appendix A-6 Proposed Changes in Existing Features or New Features, Appendix A-7 Documents and Written Information in Addition to Plans, Appendix F-6 Manufactured Home Park Procedures – Final Plat and Appendix G-5 Plan Preparation Requirements; and delete Section 93 Variances from Floodplain or Floodway Requirements; and delete Section 93 Variances from Floodplain or Floodway requirements, Section 253 Permissible Uses Within Floodways and Floodplains, Section 254 Construction within Floodways and Floodplains Restricted, Section 255 Special Provisions for Subdivision, Section 256 Water Supply and Sanitary Sewer Systems in Floodways and Floodplains, Section 257 Additional Duties of Administrator Related to Flood Insurance and Flood Control, Section 258 Location of Boundaries of Floodplain and Floodway Districts Section 259 Setbacks from streams Outside Designated Floodplains, and Section 260 Reserved.

Commissioner Openshaw explained that he had problems with people building in floodplains and pointed out the expense has cost Charlotte. He further said he was delighted that in the new map the water flows in the correct direction. He asked and received confirmation if necessary this document could be amended in the future.

Commissioner Lane moved pursuant to N. C. G. S. 153A-341, the Board of Commissioners does hereby find and determine that the proposed text amendments are consistent with the Union County Land Use Plan and that the adoption of the proposed text amendments is reasonable and in the public interest because the amendments are designed to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions within flood prone areas and thereby promote the public health, safety, and general welfare. The motion passed unanimously.

HISTORIC COURTHOUSE OUTDOOR LIGHTING UPGRADE:

Dean Glenn, representing Union County General Services, showed pictures of the old Courthouse with its existing lighting and with lighting as proposed by a local lighting designer. He said it is recommended that the county approve employing a lighting designer to design lights that would showcase the historic Union County Courthouse.

Motion was made by Vice Chairman Pressley that Union County contract with a lighting designer to develop a scheme for lighting around the historic Courthouse to showcase it and to develop specifications and to coordinate the installation and focusing of lighting fixtures to do so. The motion passed unanimously.

REQUESTS FROM VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS:

Mr. Greene stated that the Mineral Springs VFD is planning building renovations and expansion at its station and has asked for the County to waive the fees in connection with the building project. He said the estimated fees in connection with the Mineral Springs VFD construction project has increased from the estimate submitted to the Board in its package. Mr. Greene reminded the Board that Union County does not waive fees but in a case of volunteer fire departments the history has been to pay the fees on behalf of the volunteer fire departments. The County Manager stated the recommendation is to authorize staff to pay the reasonable fees associated with this project out of General Fund Contingency. He said if the Board would prefer the staff could go back and have the estimates updated for its review on the 20th. It was further noted that the package also included a similar request from Waxhaw VFD whose fees have been estimated to be \$31,882 relating to utility construction fees.

Motion was made by Commissioner Lane that the recommendation of the County Manager be accepted and the staff be authorized to pay the reasonable fees associated with this project out of General Fund Contingency and that Budget Amendment #9 be approved to increase Fire Services Operating Expense by \$39,349 and decrease Contingency by the same amount with the understanding the funds may be increased to cover the additional costs associated with the Mineral Springs project. The motion passed unanimously.

AMENDMENT TO COUNTY'S PAY AND CLASSIFICATION PLAN:

The Chairman recognized Mark Watson, Personnel Director, to make the presentation. He said this issue is one of housekeeping and is asking the Board to approve proposed pay grade revisions as listed. Mr. Watson said that it was agreed in December 2007 to employ the Archer Company to perform a compensation study related to information technology. He said across the County's operations there are a number of different IT positions in a number of departments, and it was requested by the centralized IT Department to make some revisions

to their job classifications. He said he felt it was necessary to get a good base line among all the IT related jobs at the same time. He said the study has been completed and there has been an analysis of compensation and the job content has been validated. He said it has been reviewed by the departments and he is asking for Board approval tonight.

Motion was made by Commissioner Lane that the following adjustments be approved and the revisions be made to the 2008-2009 Union County Pay Plan: GIS Database Coordinator – from Pay Grade 60 to 61; Automation Coordinator – from Pay Grade 66 to Pay Grade 68; Network Administrator – from Pay Grade 68 to Pay Grade 70; and Personal Property/Assessment Manager – from Pay Grade 75 to Pay Grade 76.

In response to a question from the Vice Chairman, Mr. Watson explained this is an on-going procedure as requested by the department when there are changes to job classifications or duties.

The motion passed unanimously.

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF VACANCIES ON BOARDS AND COMMITTEES:

At the request of the Chairman, the Clerk read the following list of vacancies on boards and committees: (a) Juvenile Crime Prevention Council (1) District Attorney or designee (2) Substance Abuse Professional (3) Two persons under age of 18; and (4) Juvenile Defense Attorney (b) Adult Care Home Community Advisory Committee (c) Nursing Home Advisory Committee (d) Board of Health – vacancy for a licensed optometrist (e) Union County Home and Community Care Block Grant Advisory Committee and one vacancy to the Agricultural Advisory Board for an unexpired term.

APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMITTEES:

The Chairman announced that there is an application from Alice Billy Thomas for reappointment to the Home and Community Care Block Grant Advisory Committee and there is an application for reappointment from Mary Cleveland to serve on the Adult Care Home Advisory Committee.

Vice Chairman Pressley moved that Alice Billy Thomas be reappointed to the Home and Community Care Block Grant Advisory Committee and Mary Cleveland be reappointed to serve on the Adult Care Home Advisory Committee. The motion passed unanimously.

MANAGER'S COMMENTS:

Mr. Greene stated that it appears that the fuel situation is getting back to normal and commented on the hard work by various county departments when things were very tight. He said the Personnel Department, the Homeland Security Department, General Services Department and Buildings and Code all pitched in in a very big way to help meet that shortage to make sure that the County had fuel for all its vehicles and equipment. He said that Personnel and Homeland Security worked together on a ride-share program for county employees and implemented that program, which the County plans to keep active. Mr. Greene said there was even a contingency plan to use Park and Ride using school buses in partnership with the School System. He said it is no longer thought that it would be necessary, but the plan is in place. Mr. Greene said the oil providers – Monroe Oil and Brewer Hendley – have stepped in to make fuel available; particularly, Monroe Oil bent over backward to accommodate the county.

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS:

Vice Chairman Pressley expressed condolences to Steve Nash in the death of his mother and also the Hinson family. He said that Rev. Maurice Hinson is the Associate Pastor of the First Baptist Church of Indian Trail and his wife had been battling brain cancer for a long time.

Vice Chairman Pressley commented on statements made during the public hearing. He noted that in relationship to the statement about the developer who is contemplating building a private sewer treatment plant and drilling wells that he is in a dilemma on what people want. He encouraged people to give developers an opportunity to have alternate ideas and see what the projects have to offer before the projects are shot down. He asked if that alternative would not be the way to be less dependent on the county's resources. The Vice Chairman said he was shocked the idea would be shot down without giving the developer a chance.

Commissioner Openshaw addressed the Vice Chairman's comment. He said it seemed to be a great thing on the surface and as all know he has been an advocate for reclaimed water for some time. Commissioner Openshaw said that when he went to Weddington's hearings that he learned a lot and that is the information to which Mr. Thomisser is referring. He said it is not as clear cut as one might think. He said two-acre lots are not what he has heard anyone criticize. Commissioner Openshaw explained that at some point the County is going to have to do a study on reclaimed water. He admitted that it was not the no-brainer that he had earlier thought it to be.

He thanked Mr. Delk for providing information on the flu shots. At his request, Mr. Delk answered the Board of Health is contracting the clinics and is sponsoring the events at two locations.

Commissioner Openshaw said that he and his wife drove across country and being frugal it ended up being a great trip. He said they stopped at numerous State parks and described various locations along the trip. He explained that he was shocked at the few number of school children at these locations and most all of the children and visitors were Europeans and Asians. Commissioner Openshaw encouraged people, if given the opportunity, to get out and explore what this country has to offer.

Commissioner Lane stated that he found it unusual about the school kids being mostly European and Asians because they go to school almost 200 days a year longer than United States' children go to school. He said the United States is behind them in both scores and time in class.

Commissioner Lane asked if at some time in the future it would be necessary for the County to take over the operation of the private well that would be built for the development and would the County also have to take over the operation of the package treatment plant. He said that those issues would need to be resolved because the Monroe Wastewater Treatment Plant will be only a temporary fix for Union County.

Commissioner Lane reported that even prior to his coming on the Board that Union County was in contact with Anson County about supplying additional water to Union County. He said the Board would prefer to purchase untreated water because by the time the treated water reaches Union County, it is necessary to retreat the water.

He offered to take pictures of other high school facilities but pointed out the Monroe Football Auditorium was new, and he had wanted to fly around and take pictures.

The Chairman stated that he had the pleasure of attending Turbo-Meca's grand opening and reminded that Union County cooperated with the City of Monroe in providing incentives. He said this is truly the type of industry and company that is welcomed in Union County. He said that the Company currently has 90 employees and by this time next year anticipates to be operating three shifts and being on course for an expansion. He stressed this was a good industry and that Union County needs lots more of these types of businesses.

He further stated that he had a friend, Tom Pegram, who retired from the North Carolina Cooperative Extension here in Union County and is moving on to a private industry. He bragged on the accomplishments of Mr. Pegram for the local agricultural community.

The Chairman stated that P. E. Bazemore, Mayor Pro Tem of the City of Monroe, is a retired Union County Extension Agent in Union County. He said this past Thursday that Burke Street in Monroe was renamed in P. E. Bazemore's honor. The Chairman stated that Mr. Bazemore, who has chosen not to seek re-election, has been an elected servant of the City of Monroe since 1981. He emphasized that this is a well deserved honor for Mr. Bazemore.

Chairman Baucom announced that October 6 – 10 is National 4-H Week and expressed his opinion that the program is good for all children and encouraged people to seek information on this program for themselves or for their children. He announced that the Ag Appreciation Celebration will be held Thursday night of this week at the Ag-Center. He complimented the farmers in this County for their accomplishments and their diversified crops.

With there being no additional business, the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned at 9:12 P.M.